

Global Water Forum

THE CHALLENGES OF WATER GOVERNANCE IN THE 21ST CENTURY

[HOME](#) [DISCUSSIONS](#) [RESOURCES](#) [CONTRIBUTE](#) [ABOUT](#) [NEWSLETTER](#)



Urban water pricing: Equity and affordability

Belo Monte Dam: A spearhead for Brazil's dam-building attack on Amazonia?

on MARCH 19, 2012 · in [CASE STUDIES](#), [ENERGY AND WATER](#), [ENVIRONMENTAL FLOWS](#)

Belo Monte Dam: A spearhead for Brazil's dam-building attack on Amazonia?

By Professor Philip Fearnside, National Institute for Research in Amazonia, Brazil

Brazil's Belo Monte Dam on the Xingu River is now under construction despite its many controversies. The Brazilian government has launched an unprecedented drive to dam the Amazon's tributaries, and Belo Monte is the spearhead for its efforts. Brazil's 2011-2020 energy-expansion plan calls for building 48 additional large dams, of which 30 would be in the country's Legal Amazon region¹. Building 30 dams in 10 years means an average rate of one dam every four months in Brazilian Amazonia through 2020. Of course, the clock doesn't stop in 2020, and the total number of planned dams in Brazilian Amazonia exceeds 60^{2,3}.

Related Articles:



[Urban water pricing: Equity and affordability](#)



[Changing the paradigm for financing of rural water improvements](#)





A Blue Morpho butterfly resting on a leaf in the Amazon

The Belo Monte Dam itself has substantial impacts. It is unusual in not having its main powerhouse located at the foot of the dam, where it would allow the water emerging from the turbines to continue flowing in the river below the dam. Instead, most of the river's flow will be detoured from the main reservoir through a series of canals interlinking five dammed tributary streams, leaving the "Big Bend" of the Xingu River below the dam with only a tiny fraction of its normal annual flow.

What is known as the "dry stretch" of 100 km between the dam and the main powerhouse includes two indigenous

reserves, plus a population of traditional Amazonian riverside dwellers. Since the impact on these people is not the normal one of being flooded by a reservoir, they were not classified as "directly impacted" in the environmental study and have not had the consultations and compensations to which directly impacted people are entitled. The human rights commission of the Organization of American States (OAS) considered the lack of consultation with the indigenous people a violation of the international accords to which Brazil is a signatory, and Brazil retaliated by cutting off its dues payments to the OAS. The dam will also have more familiar impacts by flooding about one fourth of the city of Altamira, as well as the populated rural areas that will be flooded by the reservoir.

What is most extraordinary is the project's potential impact on vast areas of indigenous land and tropical rainforest upstream of the reservoir, but the environmental impact studies and licensing have been conducted in such a way as to avoid any consideration of these impacts. The original plan for the Xingu River called for five additional dams upstream of Belo Monte^{4,5,6}. These dams, especially the 6,140 km² Babaquara Dam (now renamed the "Altamira" Dam), would store water that could be released during the Xingu River's low-flow period to keep the turbines at Belo Monte running.

The Xingu has a large annual oscillation in water flow, with as much as 60 times more water in the high-flow as



[Managing residential water demand in the OECD](#)



[The Xayaburi dam: Challenges of transboundary water governance on the Mekong River](#)



[Dammed if you do...](#)

NEWSLETTER:

Please enter your em.

[SUBSCRIBE NOW!](#)

compared to the low-flow period. During the low-flow period the unregulated flow of the river is insufficient to turn even one of the turbines in Belo Monte's 11,000 MW main powerhouse⁷. Since the Belo Monte Dam itself will be essentially 'run-of-the-river', without storing water in its relatively small reservoir, economic analysis suggests that the dam by itself won't be economically viable^{8,9}.

The official scenario for the Xingu River changed in July 2008 when Brazil's National Council for Energy Policy (CNPE) declared that Belo Monte would be the only dam on the Xingu River. However, the council is free to reverse this decision at any time. Top electrical officials considered the CNPE decision a political move that is technically irrational¹⁰. Brazil's current president blocked creation of an extractive reserve upstream of Belo Monte on the grounds that it would hamper building "dams in addition to Belo Monte"¹¹. The fact that the Brazilian government and various companies are willing to invest large sums in Belo Monte may be an indication that they do not expect history to follow the official scenario of only one dam¹².



In addition to their impacts on tropical forests and indigenous peoples, these dams would make the Xingu a source of greenhouse-gas emissions, especially methane (CH_4) which forms when dead plants decay on the bottom of a reservoir where the water contains no oxygen^{13,14}. The Babaquara Dam's 23m vertical variation in water level, annually exposing and flooding a 3,580 km² drawdown zone would make the complex a virtual 'methane factory'. The reservoir's flooding of soft vegetation growing in the drawdown zone converts carbon from CO_2 removed from the atmosphere by photosynthesis into CH_4 , with a much higher impact on global warming^{15,16,17}.

It is Belo Monte's role in the decision-making and licensing process that has the farthest-reaching consequences for Amazonia. Brazil's 1988 constitution, enacted when plans for Belo Monte and the other Xingu dams were in full swing, increased the protection for indigenous peoples by requiring approval by the national

congress for dams affecting indigenous land. This led to redesign of Belo Monte itself to avoid directly flooding indigenous land, and to a *de facto* policy of not mentioning the upstream dams. Then, in 2005, Belo Monte was suddenly approved by the senate in 48 hours under a 'urgent, super-urgent' regime with no debate and without the constitutionally required consultations with the tribes. This opened the way for consideration of multiple dams affecting indigenous peoples, including the upstream dams on the Xingu.

In February 2010, Belo Monte was granted a 'partial' license to allow installation of the construction site without completing the environmental approval of the project as a whole. Partial licenses do not exist in Brazil's legislation, and this device represents a step in allowing dam projects to make themselves into *faits accomplis* irrespective of their impacts. In January 2011 a preliminary license was granted, with 40 'conditionalities' that would have to be met before an installation license would be granted to build the dam.



Plant life, Amazon rainforest

Very little was done in the succeeding months to meet the requirements, and only five of the 40 had been met in June 2011 when an installation license was suddenly granted. The approval came after the head of the environmental agency had been forced to resign: he had supported his technical staff, who were opposed to approving the license without meeting the requirements. A new head of the agency was appointed who approved the license without fulfilling the conditionalities, opening the way for approving projects for dams, highways and other infrastructure that await fulfillment of similar requirements. The approval by replacement of the key official also opens a precedent that

can allow projects to move forward no matter what their impacts (see the new agency head's very revealing interview on Australian television [here](#)).

At the time Belo Monte's installation license was approved [12 court cases](#) were pending decisions regarding irregularities in the licensing process. What will happen if any of these cases is decided against Belo Monte after

vast sums have been spent in building the dam? Would the government simply back down and walk away? The stage appears set for breaking down Brazil's environmental licensing system even further, opening the way for the many other controversial dams planned in the Amazon.

References:

1. Brazil, MME (Ministério de Minas e Energia). 2011. Plano Decenal de Expansão de Energia 2020. MME, Empresa de Pesquisa Energética (EPE). Brasília, DF, Brazil. 2 vols. http://www.epe.gov.br/PDEE/20111229_1.pdf
2. Brazil, ELETROBRÁS. 1987. Plano 2010: Relatório Geral. Plano Nacional de Energia Elétrica 1987/2010 (Dezembro de 1987). Centrais Elétricas do Brasil (ELETROBRÁS), Brasília, DF, Brazil. 269 pp.
3. Fearnside, P.M. 1995. Hydroelectric dams in the Brazilian Amazon as sources of 'greenhouse' gases. *Environmental Conservation* 22(1): 7-19. Doi: 10.1017/S0376892900034020
4. Santos, L.A.O. & L.M.M. de Andrade (eds.) 1990. Hydroelectric Dams on Brazil's Xingu River and Indigenous Peoples. Cultural Survival Report 30. Cultural Survival, Cambridge, Massachusetts, U.S.A. 192 pp.
5. Sevá Filho, A.O. (ed.) 2005. Tenotã-mô: Alertas sobre as conseqüências dos projetos hidrelétricos no rio Xingu, Pará, Brasil," International Rivers Network, São Paulo, Brazil. 344 pp. Available at: <http://www.irn.org/programs/latamerica/pdf/TenotaMo.pdf>
6. Fearnside, P.M. 2006. Dams in the Amazon: Belo Monte and Brazil's hydroelectric development of the Xingu River Basin. *Environmental Management* 38(1): 16-27. Doi: 10.1007/s00267-005-00113-6
7. Molina Carpio, J. 2009. Questões hidrológicas no EIA Belo Monte. pp. 95-106 In: S.M.S.B.M. Santos & F.M. Hernandez (eds.). Painel de Especialistas: Análise Crítica do Estudo de Impacto Ambiental do Aproveitamento Hidrelétrico de Belo Monte. Painel de Especialistas sobre a Hidrelétrica de Belo Monte, Belém, Pará, Brazil. 230 pp. Available at: [http://www.internationalrivers.org/files/Belo%20Monte%20pareceres%20IBAMA_online%20\(3\).pdf](http://www.internationalrivers.org/files/Belo%20Monte%20pareceres%20IBAMA_online%20(3).pdf)
8. Sousa Júnior, W.C. & J. Reid, 2010. Uncertainties in Amazon hydropower development: Risk scenarios and environmental issues around the Belo Monte dam. *Water Alternatives* 3(2): 249-268.
9. Sousa Júnior, W.C. de, J. Reid & N.C.S. Leitão. 2006. Custos e Benefícios do Complexo Hidrelétrico Belo Monte: Uma Abordagem Econômico-Ambiental. Conservation Strategy Fund (CSF), Lagoa Santa, Minas Gerais,

Brazil. 90 pp. Available at: <http://www.conservation-strategy.org>

10. OESP. 2008. Governo desiste de mais hidrelétricas no Xingu. O Estado de São Paulo (OESP), 17 de julho de 2008, p. B-8.

11. Angelo, C. 2010. "PT tenta apagar fama 'antiverde' de Dilma." Folha de São Paulo, 10 October 2010, p. A-15.

12. Fearnside, P.M. 2011a. Will the Belo Monte Dam's benefits outweigh the costs? Latin America Energy Advisor, 21-25 Feb. 2011, p. 6. [<http://www.thedialogue.org>]

13. Fearnside, P.M. 2002. Greenhouse gas emissions from a hydroelectric reservoir (Brazil's Tucuruí Dam) and the energy policy implications. *Water, Air and Soil Pollution* 133(1-4): 69-96. Doi: 10.1023/A:1012971715668

14. Fearnside, P.M. 2004. Greenhouse gas emissions from hydroelectric dams: controversies provide a springboard for rethinking a supposedly "clean" energy source. *Climatic Change* 66(2-1): 1-8. Doi: 10.1023/B:CLIM.0000043174.02841.23

15. Fearnside, P.M. 2008. Hidrelétricas como "fábricas de metano": O papel dos reservatórios em áreas de floresta tropical na emissão de gases de efeito estufa. *Oecologia Brasiliensis* 12(1): 100-115. Doi: 10.4257/oeco.2008.1201.11 [English translation available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/mss%20and%20in%20press/Fearnside%20Hydro%20GHG%20framework.pdf]

16. Fearnside, P.M. 2009. As hidrelétricas de Belo Monte e Altamira (Babaquara) como fontes de gases de efeito estufa. *Novos Cadernos NAEA* 12(2): 5-56. [English translation available at: http://philip.inpa.gov.br/publ_livres/mss%20and%20in%20press/Belo%20Monte%20emissions-Engl.pdf]

17. Fearnside, P.M. 2011b. Gases de efeito estufa no EIA-RIMA da Hidrelétrica de Belo Monte. *Novos Cadernos NAEA* 14(1): 5-19.

*Philip M. Fearnside is a Research Professor at the National Institute for Research in the Amazon (INPA) in Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil since 1978. He holds a PhD in Biological Sciences from the University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, U.S.A. Author of over 450 publications (<http://philip.inpa.gov.br>), honors include Brazil's National Ecology Prize, the UN Global 500 award, the Conrad Wessel, Chico Mendes and Benchimol prizes, the Scopus prize (from Elsevier & CAPES) and membership in the Brazilian Academy of Sciences. In 2006 he was identified by *Thompson-ISI* as the world's second most-cited scientist on the subject of global warming. The author can be contacted at: pmfearn@inpa.gov.br.*

The views expressed in this article belong to the individual authors and do not represent the views of the Global Water Forum, the UNESCO Chair in Water Economics and Transboundary Water Governance, UNESCO, the Australian National University, or any of the institutions to which the authors are associated. Please see the Global Water Forum terms and conditions [here](#).

Related Articles:



**Urban water pricing:
Equity and
affordability**



**Changing the
paradigm for
financing of rural
water improvements**



**Managing residential
water demand in the
OECD**



**The Xayaburi dam:
Challenges of
transboundary water
governance on the
Mekong River**



Dammed if you do...

Leave a Reply

Your email address will not be published. Required fields are marked *

Name *

Email *

Website



CAPTCHA Code *

Comment

POST COMMENT

META

Log in
Entries [RSS](#)
Comments [RSS](#)
WordPress.org

LINKS

– ANU Water Initiative
– Centre for Water
Economics, Environment
and Policy
– UNESCO Water Portal

RECENT COMMENTS

Alisar on Non-sustainable
groundwater sustaining
irrigation

RECENT POSTS

Belo Monte Dam: A
spearhead for Brazil's
dam-building attack on
Amazonia?
Urban water pricing: Equity

NEWSLETTER

If you wish to subscribe to the
Global Water Forum
newsletter please enter your
email below: