

The text that follows is a PREPRINT.

Please cite as:

**Fearnside, P.M. & P.M.L.A. Graça.**  
**2006. BR-319: Brazil's Manaus-  
Porto Velho Highway and the  
potential impact of linking the arc of  
deforestation to central Amazonia.**  
*Environmental Management* **38(5):**  
**705-716. doi: 10.1007/s00267-005-**  
**0295-y**

ISSN: 0364-152X

Copyright: Springer.

The original publication is available at [www.springerlink.com](http://www.springerlink.com)

4 **BR-319: BRAZIL'S MANAUS-PORTO VELHO**  
5 **HIGHWAY AND THE POTENTIAL IMPACT OF**  
6 **LINKING THE ARC OF DEFORESTATION TO**  
7 **CENTRAL AMAZONIA**

8  
9  
10 **Philip M. Fearnside\***  
11 **Paulo Maurício Lima de Alencastro Graça**

12  
13 Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia (INPA)  
14 Av. Andre Araujo, 2936  
15 C.P. 478  
16 69011-970 Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil  
17

18  
19 \*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; *email*: [pmfearn@inpa.gov.br](mailto:pmfearn@inpa.gov.br)  
20

1 **ABSTRACT** / Brazil's BR-319 Highway linked Manaus, in the state of Amazonas, to Porto  
2 Velho, Rondônia, until it became impassable in 1988. Now it is proposed for reconstruction  
3 and paving, which would facilitate migration from the "Arc of Deforestation" in the southern  
4 part of the Amazon region to new frontiers farther north. The purpose of the highway, which  
5 is to facilitate transport to São Paulo of products from factories in the Manaus Free Trade  
6 Zone, would be better served by sending the containers by ship to the port of Santos. The lack  
7 of a land connection to Manaus currently represents a significant barrier to migration to  
8 central and northern Amazonia. Discourse regarding the highway systematically  
9 overestimates the highway's benefits and underestimates its impacts. A variety of changes  
10 would be needed prior to paving the highway if these potential impacts are to be attenuated.  
11 These include zoning, reserve creation, and increased governance in various forms, including  
12 deforestation licensing and control programs. More fundamental changes are also needed,  
13 especially the abandonment of the longstanding tradition in Brazil of granting squatters'  
14 rights to those who invade public land. Organizing Amazonian occupation in such a way that  
15 road construction and improvement ceases to lead to explosive and uncontrolled deforestation  
16 should be a prerequisite for approval of the BR-319 and other road projects for which major  
17 impacts are expected. These projects could provide the impetus that is needed to achieve the  
18 transition away from appropriation of public land by both small squatters and by *grileiros*  
19 (large-scale illegal claimants). A delay in reconstructing the highway is advisable until  
20 appropriate changes can be effected.

21  
22 **KEYWORDS:** Amazonia, BR-319, Brazil, Deforestation, Highways, Manaus, Porto Velho,  
23 Roads



1           The high priority given to initial paving of the highway is best explained as part of  
2 an informal package of public works and federal programs that was given to the state of  
3 Amazonas as a sort of compensation for heavier federal investments in the state of Pará (*e.g.*,  
4 Mahar 1976, p. 360). Headquarters of the Superintendency for Development of Amazonia  
5 (SUDAM) was established in Belém (capital of Pará), and the great majority of ranching and  
6 other projects financed by the agency were in Pará. Pará also got most of the Transamazon  
7 Highway and all of its settlements, as well as construction of the BR-163 highway and paving  
8 of the Belém-Brasília (BR-010) Highway, followed shortly after by the Tucuruí Dam.  
9 Amazonas, a traditional rival of Pará, was given the Manaus Free Trade Zone (SUFRAMA),  
10 the Balbina Dam, and the BR-174 and BR-319 Highways. Balance between states is  
11 important in explaining why large federal investments with little economic justification have  
12 sometimes been made in Amazonas. The Balbina Dam is the best-known example (Fearnside  
13 1989a); the same considerations applied to BR-319.

14  
15           In the 1970s and 1980s BR-319 had little traffic, as industrial production from  
16 Manaus was more cheaply sent to markets in south-central Brazil by ship and even by air.  
17 Rondônia was still the destination of most migrants who followed the BR-364 (Cuiabá-Porto  
18 Velho) Highway from Paraná and other major source areas (Fearnside 1987b). By the time  
19 Rondônia was essentially full and overflowing with migrants, BR-319 had degraded to the  
20 point that road conditions inhibited migration further north. However, by the time bus service  
21 from Porto Velho to Manaus was suspended in 1988, enough migrants had found their way to  
22 Manaus and especially to Roraima to significantly affect development there. Poor road  
23 conditions on BR-319 convinced those leaving Rondônia to go to Acre or to the southern part  
24 of the state of Amazonas rather than to Manaus or to Roraima. The alternative to road  
25 transport was a four-day boat trip from Porto Velho to Manaus, which represented a  
26 significant barrier to most of Rondônia's migrants, who have come from non-Amazonian  
27 parts of Brazil and are unaccustomed to boat travel. The thin layer of asphalt on BR-319 soon  
28 became a nearly continuous series of potholes, which are both more expensive to fill and  
29 more damaging to vehicles than would be the case on an unpaved road. Much of the route  
30 had to be driven on temporary tracks beside the road rather than on the roadbed itself. The  
31 road from Porto Velho to Humaitá has remained passable since the highway was built, and  
32 the first 200 km proceeding north from Humaitá were settled by colonists on 100-ha lots  
33 distributed by the National Institute for Colonization and Agrarian Reform (INCRA). Most of  
34 these lots have now changed hands one or more times and are consolidated into small ranches  
35 (*fazendolas*) of 500 ha or more.

36  
37           The southern end of the highway has remained at least marginally passable for the  
38 first 100 km north of Humaitá, and to a lesser extent for the next 100 km,. In 2001 the first 58  
39 km to the north of Humaitá was repaved, as was the 100 km at the northern end of the  
40 highway from Careiro Castanho to Manaus. A 340-km stretch in the middle of the route  
41 remains impassable, although occasional convoys of vehicles have made the journey at the  
42 height of the dry season in some years (bridges have been maintained to allow access to  
43 microwave towers along the route). Reluctance to spend limited government resources on  
44 reconstructing BR-319 is undoubtedly a combined result of existence of a waterway  
45 (*hidrovia*) on the Madeira River parallel to the highway and the very high cost of maintaining  
46 a highway in an area where rainfall averages up to 2200 mm annually.

#### 47 48 **Plans for Reconstruction**

49

1 Reconstruction and repaving of BR-319 has been planned and postponed repeatedly.  
2 The project was initially included in the 1996-1999 “Brasil em Ação” (Brazil in Action) plan,  
3 but, despite objections from the state of Amazonas, was withdrawn by the program’s  
4 coordinator, José Paulo Silveira, because of the low economic justification as compared to  
5 the hundreds of other projects in the four-year development plan (J. P. Silveira, public  
6 statement 1999). Paving the highway was subsequently included in the 2000-2003 “*Avança*  
7 *Brasil*” (Forward Brazil) program (Brazil, Programa Avança Brasil 1999; Consórcio  
8 Brasileira 1998; Fearnside 2002), but only the two stretches mentioned above were actually  
9 paved (totaling 158 km). In the 2004-2007 “Plano Plurianual” (Pluriannual Plan), or “PPA,”  
10 launched under president Luiz Inácio Lula da Silva (Brazil, MPOG 2004) the BR-319 project  
11 appeared listed as expected for “after 2007”, meaning that it would not be built during the  
12 term of the plan. However, President Lula’s Minister of Transportation, Alfredo Nascimento,  
13 is the former mayor of Manaus and has made the project a high priority (Banega and  
14 Simonetti 2005). Nascimento’s political party (Partido Liberal: PL) has made extensive use  
15 his promises to build the highway in television and other advertisements in preparation for  
16 the October 2006 election.

17  
18 The schedule announced by the Minister of Transportation approximately three  
19 months before the intended date for beginning work implied that he considered an  
20 environmental impact study (EIA) and report on impact on the environment (RIMA) to be  
21 unnecessary. Instead of the EIA/RIMA, which must conform to federal norms and be  
22 completed and approved before beginning construction, the state government contracted the  
23 Federal University of Amazonas to draft a Report of Environmental Accompaniment to be  
24 done simultaneously with the construction project. The Minister of Transportation and the  
25 Amazonas state governor inaugurated the beginning of construction on 9 July 2005, but a  
26 judicial order halted the project on 4 August. The Minister of the Environment announced on  
27 11 August 2005 that the BR-319 reconstruction project would have to go through the  
28 environmental licensing process. On 1 September the Regional Federal Court (TRF) issued  
29 an order lifting the judicial embargo until the main case is decided, and the Minister of  
30 Transportation announced immediate resumption of the reconstruction project.

31  
32 Paving the BR-319 highway has great public appeal in Manaus. In March 2005 all 24  
33 state deputies (representatives in the legislative assembly of Amazonas) signed a “manifesto  
34 of support” urging the federal government to pave the highway immediately. In Manaus the  
35 highway is generally viewed as a means of exporting industrial products more cheaply to São  
36 Paulo and other major markets in south-central Brazil, and as a cheaper route for the city’s  
37 inhabitants to travel to these areas, for example for family visits. The fact that the road will  
38 facilitate travel in both directions, leading to greatly increased migration to Manaus, is  
39 scarcely mentioned (personal observation).

## 40 41 **Potential Impacts**

### 42 43 **Impacts along the Highway Route**

44  
45 Paving BR-319 will lead to transformation of the area along the highway route.  
46 Representatives of the industrial and civil construction sectors in Manaus argue that, because  
47 the highway has existed for a long time, reconstructing and paving it would have virtually no  
48 environmental effect because “what was to be degraded has already been degraded” (Almeida  
49 2005). Unfortunately, past experience of road building and improvement in Amazonia has

1 resulted in a pattern of deforestation spreading out from access routes once they are  
2 established, and an acceleration when they are improved. The rate of spread depends on  
3 various factors, but one that stands out as a highly significant predictor is the distinction  
4 between paved and unpaved roads (Laurance and others 2001, 2002; Nepstad and others  
5 2000, 2001; Soares-Filho and others 2005, 2006).

6  
7 The fact that little deforestation has occurred since the BR-319 Highway was initially  
8 opened is sometimes suggested as indicating that this region would suffer little impact were  
9 the road to be reconstructed and repaved. Lack of clearing along the route is attributed by  
10 some to excessive rainfall making the climate inappropriate for ranching and agriculture  
11 (Schneider and others 2000) and to economic disadvantages of the long distance to markets.  
12 However, physical differences are not so great between the southern half of the BR-319 route  
13 and areas that have become major deforestation hotspots in Amazonas since 2002 between  
14 Humaitá and Lábrea and between Humaitá and Apuí. Malaria is endemic and clearly  
15 debilitating along the highway route; however, malaria cannot explain the modest advance of  
16 deforestation in the years following original construction since the disease also affects other  
17 areas with high deforestation rates.

18  
19 In the northernmost portion of the route agriculture is unpromising because of less  
20 fertile soils (Brazil, Projeto RADAMBRASIL 1973-1982, vols. 17 & 18). The northern  
21 portion is occupied by hydromorphic soils (Histosols), which are less desirable for agriculture  
22 and ranching than the red-yellow podzolic soils (Ultisols) that occupy most of the first 300  
23 km north of Humaitá (Brazil, Projeto RADAMBRASIL 1973-1982, vols. 17 & 18). Despite  
24 agricultural limitations, the northern portion of the highway has been the focus of settlement  
25 projects such as the Panelão and Igarapé Açu projects in Careiro Castanho county. While  
26 poor soil offers some discouragement of deforestation, the notion that this somehow confers  
27 an immunity to clearing has been shown to be in error by frequent examples (*e.g.*, Fearnside  
28 1986).

29  
30 Some indications of potential increase in deforestation along the highway route are  
31 evident. There have been a number of land purchases in anticipation of the paving, with  
32 capital-intensive agriculture (rice, to be followed by soybeans) being used on one property  
33 120 km north of Humaitá and several areas 200 km north of Humaitá reportedly purchased by  
34 large soybean investors from Mato Grosso. However, in 2005 agricultural profitability was at  
35 an economic low point, with substantial drops in the prices of rice, soybeans and beef causing  
36 losses for agriculture and ranching throughout Amazonia. Contributing factors included the  
37 lowest exchange rate of the Brazilian Real against the US dollar in three years (R\$2.4 /US\$)  
38 having fallen by 24% between June 2004 and June 2005, combined with the normal  
39 economic equilibria between supply and demand for these commodities. The globalized  
40 nature of markets for these commodities resulted in low prices for all three commodities in  
41 2005, even though Brazilian yields of rice and soybeans were both below normal due to  
42 rainfall irregularities, especially rain in the harvest season.

43  
44 Another development indicating a potential increase in deforestation along the  
45 highway route if the road is repaved is arrival of landless migrants. Landless migrants have  
46 established a camp at Igarapé Realidade (100 km north of Humaitá: Figure 2). Migrants in the  
47 camp at Igarapé Realidade are organized as a community (although they do not identify  
48 themselves as belonging to any national landless movement, such as the Movement of  
49 Landless Rural Workers, or MST). Two busloads of migrants were obliged to return to

1 Rondônia after a confrontation with police, but approximately 30 families remained in the  
2 camp and surrounding area. Long-term residents in the area claim that they have started  
3 clearings in various parts of the “*fundiária*” area (public lands that lie behind the 2-km deep  
4 strip of lots originally distributed by INCRA along the roadside). This area of public land  
5 already has various claimants, including long-term residents of the area engaged in gathering  
6 Brazil nuts (*Bertholetia excelsa*) and several larger claims by individual and corporate owners  
7 of blocks of lots along the highway. Residents along the highway believe that ownership of a  
8 roadside lot gives the owner the right to a virtually unlimited area of the public land lying  
9 behind the colonized area. INCRA states that colonists have no such right (David Benedito  
10 Gonçalves, personal communication 2005). But, as the Thomas theorem in sociology holds,  
11 "If men define situations as real, they are real in their consequences" (Thomas and Thomas  
12 1928, pp. 571-572).

13  
14 [Figure 2 here]

15  
16 In addition to the migrants at Igarapé Realidade, a stream of free-lance land seekers  
17 has appeared to stake out claims. Some of these are dropped off by boat on the banks of the  
18 Madeira River and then wander through the forest in search of unclaimed land. These  
19 individual agents from the already filled areas in Rondônia can be expected to travel to all  
20 points along the road once access is improved. Currently there is bus service up to 200 km  
21 north of Humaitá.

22  
23 The claiming of large areas by *grileiros* leads to a pattern of violence in which hired  
24 gunmen remove any competing claimants. The head of the National Institute for Colonization  
25 and Agrarian Reform (INCRA) in Amazonas has denounced the prevalence of this pattern in  
26 the region (Litaiff 2005). The vision of the state governor of the BR-319 becoming a  
27 “corredor of family agriculture” (*Amazonas em Tempo* 2005a) would appear to be an  
28 improbable scenario in the absense of first achieving success in implanting governance in the  
29 area.

30  
31 Effect of BR-319 is not restricted to the area directly accessed by the highway, but  
32 also by a series of planned side roads that will connect BR-319 to municipal seats on the  
33 Madeira and Purus Rivers. These include Manicoré, Borba, Novo Aripuanã and Tapauá.  
34 Plans for side roads are already stimulating resistance by local politicians to creation of  
35 reserves near proposed routes. A proposed indigenous reserve that borders the planned AM-  
36 465 road giving access to Tapauá is the focus of objections from city council members of  
37 Tapauá, who want land opened by this side road to be available for agriculture (*Amazonas em*  
38 *Tempo* 2005b).

39  
40 Existence of protected areas of various types can significantly slow the advance of  
41 deforestation, reducing the probability that any given hectare will undergo a transformation  
42 from forest to another land use (Ferreira and others 2005). Sometimes the mere rumor that a  
43 reserve will be created can discourage invasion. At present there are almost no reserves to  
44 restrict deforestation along BR-319, although talk of creating such reserves is a major  
45 preoccupation of large farmers and ranchers in Humaitá and along the occupied portion of the  
46 highway route. The Capanã Grande extractive reserve (RESEX) has been created by the  
47 federal government (Figure 2). The state government has plans for creating the Rio Amapá  
48 sustainable development reserve (RDS). The area is of interest for reserve creation because  
49 the strip of land between the Madeira and Purus rivers along which BR-319 passes is an



1 interfluvial with particularly high biological diversity (Mario Cohn-Haft, personal  
2 communication 2005).

### 4 **Impacts in Central Amazonia**

6 Manaus today is an island of peace that seems out of place in Amazonia. To the north  
7 of the city is the SUFRAMA Agriculture and Ranching District where large ranches were  
8 established in the early 1980s with generous fiscal incentives and government-subsidized  
9 financing packages. When the flow of government funds dwindled in the mid-1980s, most of  
10 the pasture was abandoned. Today over 80% of the cleared area is occupied by woody  
11 secondary vegetation. Yet no landless migrants invade the area; there are no battles between  
12 squatters and gunmen, no burned shacks and no deaths. Abandoned ranches like these are  
13 virtually nonexistent in southern Pará, northern Mato Grosso or Rondônia, as any such  
14 ranches would be invaded almost immediately.

16 The peaceful scenario in rural areas around Manaus could change overnight with  
17 opening of a paved link to the “Arc of Deforestation,” the crescent-shaped area along the  
18 eastern and southern edges of the Amazon forest where deforestation activity is concentrated.  
19 The relatively modest incursions of landless migrants on BR-319 today, such as the  
20 encampment at Igarapé Realidade, are misleading as an indication of the scale of impact that  
21 occurs when new migration frontiers become available. The much stronger effect on frontier  
22 areas in southern Pará offers a better indication of this potential (Fearnside 2001). Estimates  
23 of the number of landless rural families in all of Brazil range from 5 to 10 million, greatly  
24 exceeding the capacity of the entire region even if entirely distributed in government  
25 settlement projects (*e.g.*, Fearnside 1985).

27 Manaus could also expect to receive a substantially increased flow of urban migrants.  
28 Both rural-to-urban and urban-to-urban migration are powerful trends in Brazil’s ongoing  
29 population flows (Brazil, IBGE 2005; Browder and Godfrey, 1997). The industrial district in  
30 Manaus, which benefits from special tax exemptions as a part of SUFRAMA, employed  
31 82,730 people in April 2005 (Brazil, SUFRAMA 2005a); this has been the principal magnet  
32 attracting population to the city (2005 population approximately 1.6 million).

34 Much of the migration to Manaus has so far come from riverside populations in the  
35 interior of Amazonia, but this flow could be dwarfed by new arrivals from the rest of Brazil  
36 where access made easier. Unemployment in Manaus is lower than in many Brazilian cities,  
37 although the reputation Manaus enjoys for high levels of employment is not entirely  
38 deserved. Manaus has 141 formal jobs per 1000 inhabitants; of the capital cities of Brazil’s  
39 states, one-third have more unemployment than Manaus while two-thirds have less (Brazil,  
40 IBGE 2005). However, Manaus has the best ratio of employment to population of any capital  
41 city in Brazil’s northern region.

43 Per-capita income provides another indicator of the attractiveness of Manaus as a  
44 migration destination. The state of Amazonas is far better off than surrounding states and has  
45 higher per-capita income than any other federal unit in Brazil with the exception of the  
46 Federal District (Brasília), Rio de Janeiro, São Paulo, Rio Grande do Sul and Santa Catarina.  
47 Even Paraná, which is well known as a wealthy state, is slightly poorer than Amazonas. Per-  
48 capita income in Amazonas is more than double that of Pará and quadruple that of Maranhão  
49 (Figure 3). Especially important for BR-319 is the fact that Amazonas has nearly twice the

1 per-capita income of Rondônia. The city of Manaus is responsible for the state's high  
2 ranking. As a city, Manaus ranked third among the capitals of all 27 Brazilian federal units  
3 in terms of gross domestic product per capita in 2005, behind only Vitória (Espírito Santo)  
4 and Brasília (Distrito Federal) (Soares 2005).

5  
6 [Figure 3 here]  
7

8 Needless to say, arrival of large numbers of urban migrants in Manaus would stretch  
9 already precarious social services and increase urban problems such as unemployment,  
10 underemployment, urban invasions and crime. One would expect migration from other parts  
11 of Brazil to be proportional to the disparity in employment opportunities and living standards  
12 between source and destination locations, leading to a lowering of the attractive qualities of  
13 the destination location until an equilibrium is established (*e.g.*, movements in Garcia and  
14 others 2004). The magnitude of disparity that can be maintained at equilibrium depends on  
15 the friction to migration represented by impediments such as lack of road access to Manaus.  
16 When these impediments are removed, the equilibrium would shift as heightened migration  
17 lowers the attractive features of the destination area. An example is provided by Sorriso,  
18 Mato Grosso: this small city, which is at the center of Mato Grosso's soybean boom, was the  
19 subject of frequent news reports because the economic boom had resulted in the area having  
20 the highest Index of Human Development in Brazil. A year later, the mayor of the Sorriso  
21 lamented that publicity of the Index resulted in the city becoming overrun with migrants.  
22 With five busloads of people arriving per day, the mayor is looking for ways to discourage  
23 the migration that has already increased the population of schoolchildren by 36% (*Folha de*  
24 *São Paulo* 2005).

### 25 26 **Impacts in Roraima** 27

28 The potential for increased migration to Roraima is likely to be one of the principal  
29 impacts of paving BR-319. Aside from the longstanding population flow from Maranhão to  
30 Pará, Rondônia has become the principal source of migration to other Amazonian states, the  
31 main destinations being areas such as Apuí (in southern Amazonas), eastern Acre, and a  
32 significant movement to northwestern Mato Grosso (reversing the traditional flow from Mato  
33 Grosso to Rondônia) (*e.g.*, Garcia and others 2004). Roraima is also a destination, although  
34 the difficulty of transportation restrains migration on this route at present. In the early 1980s,  
35 when BR-319 was passable, a substantial fraction of the migrants who arrived in Manaus on  
36 the highway continued directly to Roraima via BR-174, rather than settling in central  
37 Amazonia. This is partially explained by geochemistry—Roraima, located on the Boa Vista  
38 Formation, has younger, more fertile soils than the Manaus area. It is also partly explained by  
39 the active encouragement of the government of Roraima, which distributed land in settlement  
40 areas, provided services such as subsidized transport to markets and even transported new  
41 migrants to the state as part of election strategies (see Fearnside and Barbosa 1996).

### 42 43 **Highway Benefits** 44

45 Benefits of paving BR-319 are much less than what is portrayed in political discourse  
46 surrounding the subject. The main justification presented is lowering of transportation costs  
47 for freight to south-central Brazil, thereby increasing competitiveness of industrial products  
48 from Manaus on markets in São Paulo and other population centers. However, the industrial  
49 products of Manaus, such as television sets and motorcycles, are not perishable items for

1 which reducing transportation time by a few days would make a significant difference.  
2 Shipment of such freight by ship to the port of Santos is much more efficient both in terms of  
3 energy use and in terms of labor costs than is shipment in thousands of trucks, irrespective of  
4 the highway route. The same arguments used as justification for BR-319 are simultaneously  
5 being used as part of the justification for paving the BR-163 Highway from Santarém to  
6 Cuiabá (*e.g.*, Simonetti 2005; Brazil, SUFRAMA 2005b). Freight now taken from Manaus to  
7 Belém by barge and trucked to São Paulo via the Belém-Brasília (BR-010) Highway takes 11  
8 days and would arrive in 5 days if trucked from Santarém (Brazil, BNDES 1998, p.  
9 68). Counting the same freight in justifying BR-319 implies that this benefit would evaporate  
10 for BR-163. The current multimodal route through Porto Velho is cheaper than the route  
11 through Belém, but the Porto Velho route is only usable for part of the year because the  
12 Madeira River is not navigable in its low-water period. Water level in the Madeira River  
13 varies by 15 m over the course of the year, and depth at Porto Velho is only 2 m when water  
14 flow is at its annual minimum.

15  
16 The trucking route from Manaus to São Paulo via the Belém-Brasília Highway would  
17 appear to lack logic when compared with movement of freight by cabotage, or coastal  
18 shipping, between Manaus and São Paulo's port at Santos. Brazil's National Bank for  
19 Economic and Social Development (BNDES), which is responsible for promoting  
20 transportation infrastructure development, has published transportation cost figures that  
21 directly contradict the political discourse promoting the highway project. BNDES estimates  
22 that use of the ships would reduce the door-to-door cost of freight by 50% as compared to  
23 current barge and highway options (Brazil, BNDES 1998, p. 102). However, "transport of  
24 general cargo by cabotage is practically nonexistent" (Brazil, BNDES 1998, p. 64). BNDES  
25 (1998, p. 100) states that "the greatest impediment to movement of this freight by cabotage is  
26 in the inefficiency and unreliability of the ports. .... If fees and service quality were in accord  
27 with international standards, making regular operation of cabotage viable, freight between  
28 Manaus and the south-east region would fall to approximately R\$3 thousand [US\$2.6  
29 thousand at the time][per container], or half of the current cost." In addition to port costs,  
30 BNDES also emphasizes "abusive" prices of auxiliary services such as piloting fees on the  
31 Amazonas River that alone "cost R\$100 [US\$86] [per container], on average, or 3% of the  
32 total freight cost between Manaus and São Paulo".

33  
34 The port of Manaus is the most inefficient in Brazil in terms of the number of hours  
35 needed to load or unload a ship: 36 hours, or twice the length of time required in Santos (Ono  
36 2001, p. 43). In addition to being inefficient, the ports are also expensive. In a report by the  
37 National Confederation of Transportation, a "necessary action" identified to make cabotage  
38 viable is "reduction of excess labor in the ports" (CNT, nd [C. 2002], p. 148). Modernization  
39 has reduced the number of manual tasks, resulting in surplus workers. The National  
40 Confederation of Transportation outlines a negotiating strategy based on offers of early  
41 retirement for these workers. However, we would suggest that in the case of Manaus much of  
42 this could be unnecessary since the needed major expansion of the port should allow the  
43 present workforce to be retained.

44  
45 Political discourse regarding the benefits of transporting the industrial output of  
46 Manaus to São Paulo by truck via either BR-163 or BR-319 may well bear little relation to  
47 what actually unfolds once the highways are paved. For example, paving of the BR-174  
48 Highway in 1997 was justified by the claim that industrial products from Manaus would be  
49 trucked to Venezuela and exported from there by ship to the USA via Houston, Texas

1 (Abdala 1996). This was calculated to save 15 days over exporting the products directly by  
2 ship from Manaus. Once the highway was paved, no trucks appeared to ply this new export  
3 route. The greater economic efficiency of exporting directly by ship is evident, the difference  
4 in cost outweighing the value of saving two weeks in transport. Nevertheless, discourse  
5 regarding a truck route to Venezuela served its purpose in gaining political support for the  
6 highway paving. Increased deforestation in Roraima is one of the ongoing costs of the BR-  
7 174 Highway.

8  
9 The main benefit of BR-319 is likely to be political support for those able to take  
10 credit for its reconstruction. Construction would be with federal funds, not funds from  
11 taxpayers of the state of Amazonas. This difference in perspective can be a key factor in  
12 perception of whether major investments are worthwhile, the Balbina Dam near Manaus  
13 providing a clear example (Fearnside 1989a). Another influential group is construction firms  
14 and the array of potential suppliers of goods and services to the construction effort. As with  
15 any major public investment where financial costs are borne by taxpayers spread throughout  
16 the country while commercial activity and employment generated in the construction phase  
17 are localized (*e.g.*, in Manaus), a lobby of local support can be expected to develop even if  
18 the project in question has minimal economic justification. The Balbina Dam, for example, is  
19 known as a “pharaonic” project because, like the pyramids of ancient Egypt, it erected a  
20 massive structure at great cost with little or no practical return (Fearnside 1989a).

21  
22 In addition to industrial freight, which is seen as leading to increased employment in  
23 Manaus, an important source of support for paving BR-319 lies in the imagination of middle-  
24 class residents of Manaus who visualize themselves making vacation trips to south-central  
25 Brazil, even though most such trips are likely never to take place (at least by road). Ending  
26 the “isolation” of Manaus proves to be a powerful slogan, but it is rarely remembered that  
27 this coin has two sides, the other being the arrival of a stream of migrants to Manaus.

### 28 29 **BR-319 and the Decision-Making Process**

30  
31 The decision-making process for paving BR-319 follows the pattern evident in other  
32 Amazonian infrastructure projects of substantially underestimating impacts and  
33 overestimating benefits of proposed public works. Most notable in this case is the effect of  
34 not counting major environmental and social impact of the road, namely the impact of  
35 population flow to central Amazonia and to Roraima. Impacts of laying down the roadbed  
36 itself are minimal as compared to more far-reaching effects of population flow and increased  
37 deforestation activity (*e.g.*, Fearnside 2005a). Deforestation provokes loss of environmental  
38 services such as biodiversity maintenance, water cycling and carbon storage (*e.g.*, Fearnside  
39 2005b). These losses include increasing risk of passing thresholds that could lead to  
40 irreversible forest degradation.

41  
42 The need for a rethinking of the plans for paving BR-319 at this time is suggested by  
43 high environmental and social costs and modest benefits when viewed in a more realistic  
44 light than that of the current political discourse. Impacts of the highway could be reduced if a  
45 decision on paving it were postponed by several years and if good use were made of the  
46 intervening time. One alternative would be a regular shipping service between Manaus and  
47 Santos. The port of Manaus is capable of handling ocean-going ships of all sizes, but  
48 shipping is primarily focused on foreign markets. Resistance to cabotage can be expected  
49 from firms that currently operate barges to Belém and Porto Velho, but this should be no

1 more of an impediment than are the same firms with respect to the BR-319 reconstruction  
2 project. As of 1996 there were 15 firms transporting general cargo to Belém and eight firms  
3 to Porto Velho (Brazil, BNDES 1998, pp. 66 & 79).  
4

5 The ecological-economic zoning of the state of Amazonas, already completed in  
6 preliminary form (Estado do Amazonas 2001), needs to be strengthened and implemented. In  
7 Roraima a zoning has been completed but was left unimplemented because the state  
8 environmental agency failed to send it to the state's legislative assembly. What is needed as a  
9 prerequisite for a decision on paving the highway is not a plan or a committee, but real  
10 changes that are actually implanted before approval is granted. Assuming that mitigation  
11 measures will be implanted simultaneously with highway paving represents a formula for  
12 environmental disaster, as amply shown by the history of the BR-364 Highway (Fearnside  
13 1989b).  
14

15 Creation and implantation (including staffing) of reserves along the highway route is  
16 an important measure that needs to be in place not only before the highway is opened but  
17 before the effects of expectations of future paving further erode the possibilities of creating  
18 such areas. Reserves can form barriers parallel to the highway to contain the expansion of  
19 clearing from the edges of the road. In the case of extractive reserves, they also offer the  
20 possibility of maintaining some of the current economy based on Brazil nut gathering, an  
21 activity that is sacrificed wherever clearing advances and where local residents are replaced  
22 with recent arrivals from southern Brazil.  
23

24 Lack of governance is a chronic problem on BR-319, as elsewhere in Amazonia. Both  
25 the federal environmental agency (IBAMA: Brazilian Institute for the Environment and  
26 Renewable Natural Resources) and the state agency (IPAAM: Institute for Environmental  
27 Protection of Amazonas) are very weak when compared to the challenges they face.  
28 Enforcement is minimal of environmental regulations such as those requiring a "legal  
29 reserve" of 80% of each property in areas of Amazonia where the original vegetation is  
30 forest, and the "permanent protection areas" (APPs) along water courses and on steeply  
31 sloping land. A combination of remote sensing, field campaigns, and close cooperation  
32 between the enforcement agencies and the judicial system has shown itself to be effective in  
33 influencing land-clearing behavior in the case of Mato Grosso's licensing and control  
34 program from 1999 to 2001, that is, under a previous state government (Fearnside 2003;  
35 Fearnside and Barbosa 2003). These methods have not yet been applied in Amazonas or  
36 Roraima.  
37

38 A basic impediment to better governance is lack of land titling and a proper cadastre  
39 that would make it possible to identify who owns any given piece of land. This needs to be  
40 done without legalizing the claims of either *grileiros* (large illegal land claimers) or small  
41 squatters. While a national cadastre is under preparation by INCRA, progress on this long-  
42 term project has not yet reached the BR-319 area. The Land Institute of Amazonas  
43 (ITERAM) has also not yet succeeded in mounting a georeferenced data base of properties in  
44 the areas it controls.  
45

46 Fundamental and far-reaching changes are needed, in addition to more palliative  
47 measures to contain deforestation through zoning, reserves and enforcement of environmental  
48 regulations. The lack of employment alternatives needs to be addressed in both urban and  
49 rural contexts. In the rural context, factors acting to discourage hiring of labor include the

1 heavy burden of “social charges” such as the government pension fund. Informal  
2 (unregulated) labor markets dominate in much of the Amazonian interior. Gross abuses, such  
3 as debt slavery, are a common result of the weak governance that undermines the  
4 enforcement of regulations of all types (*e.g.*, Rocha 2005).  
5

6 The types of land use chosen discourage creation of stable rural employment. Logging  
7 is a significant employer, but the unsustainability of this land use, even when done as part of  
8 approved management plans, leads to a continual movement of sawmills and logging  
9 frontiers. The deforestation process itself employs a significant workforce in Amazonia, but  
10 is necessarily a passing phenomenon in any given location (and, on the long run, in the region  
11 as a whole). After deforestation, the predominant land use is cattle pasture, which also  
12 employs few people. Where profitable, mechanized cultivation of rice and soybeans is  
13 increasingly present. This form of agriculture substitutes machinery and herbicides for hand  
14 labor. Among factors discouraging land uses that would employ more people in deforested  
15 areas is fear of hired laborers and/or sharecroppers gaining squatters rights over land they  
16 cultivate. Strategies to avoid such land-tenure claims include periodic expulsion and  
17 replacement of workers and tenants.  
18

19 Brazil urgently needs to make the transition away from relying on squatters’ rights as  
20 an escape valve for inequalities and injustices of all types, as well as allowing and  
21 legitimizing large-scale appropriation of public land by *grileiros*. Eventually, this custom is  
22 bound to change as the limits of available forest area are approached. Both environmental and  
23 social benefits would be great if the transition could be achieved soon—well before it is  
24 forced on the country for lack of further forest to invade.  
25

26 In places like Europe and North America this transition has been made long ago:  
27 unemployed people who lack resources to start their own business are faced with the option  
28 of seeking some form of employment, either urban or rural. The idea would not even occur to  
29 them that they have an innate right to invade any “unused” land, such as public land in  
30 Amazonia, in order to start a new farm. At some time in the distant past, of course, the  
31 ancestors of virtually all people today claimed land by simply occupying it. In Brazil this  
32 form of transferring land from the public to the private domain has persisted to this day.  
33 Abandoning this tradition requires a change in the mind set of the population. Such changes in  
34 attitude can happen – the tradition of squatters’ rights is not a fixed part of the landscape. An  
35 example is provided by settlement of the western part of the United States, where “closing of  
36 the frontier” in 1890 marked the end of this form of freelance settlement (Turner 1893). For  
37 such a change to occur in Brazil by means other than simply running out of land, some visible  
38 milestone is needed. If political will for such a change can be mustered, the decision process  
39 for highways like BR-319 could be the turning point for Brazil.  
40

## 41 **Conclusions**

42

43 Uncounted environmental costs of linking central Amazonia to the “Arc of  
44 Deforestation” need to be incorporated into the decision process *before* a decision is made to  
45 reconstruct and pave the BR-319 Highway. While, over a time scale of decades, paving of  
46 this highway is logical to expect, environmental costs would be high if this is done without  
47 first preparing the areas to which potential impacts extend, including Roraima. Preparations  
48 include ecological-economic zoning, establishment of reserves, and increasing the level of  
49 governance to a point where impact from an increased flow of migrants could be contained.

1 Organizing Amazonian occupation in such a way that road construction and improvement  
 2 ceases to lead inexorably to explosive and uncontrolled deforestation should be a prerequisite  
 3 for approval of the BR-319 and other road projects. A delay in reconstructing the highway  
 4 would be advisable until appropriate changes can be effected. More fundamentally, Brazil  
 5 needs to undergo a transition whereby the centuries-old tradition of granting land rights to  
 6 migrants who invade areas of forest is ended. This means of providing an escape valve for the  
 7 country's many problems must be replaced with improved employment opportunities in both  
 8 urban and rural areas before the transition is forced upon the country by decimation of the  
 9 forest.

## 11 Acknowledgments

12  
 13 The Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq: Proc.  
 14 470765/01-1) and the Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia (INPA: PPI 1-1005)  
 15 provided financial support. E. M. Nogueira assisted in the fieldwork and R. I. Barbosa  
 16 provided valuable comments. We thank the settlers and others along BR-319 for their  
 17 patience and cooperation. Four reviewers and the journal's editorial board provided valuable  
 18 comments.

## 20 Literature Cited

- 21  
 22 Abdala, I. 1996. "Governo retoma o projeto do asfalto da Manaus-Caracarái." *Amazonas em*  
 23 *Tempo* [Manaus] 29 January 1996. p. 8.  
 24  
 25 Almeida, P. 2005. "Empresários defendem a BR-319." *Amazonas em Tempo* [Manaus] 29  
 26 March 2005. p. B-6.  
 27  
 28 *Amazonas em Tempo*. 2005a. "Rodovia BR-319 será o corredor de agricultura familiar".  
 29 *Amazonas em Tempo* [Manaus]. 5 June 2005, p. A-5.  
 30  
 31 *Amazonas em Tempo*. 2005b. "Demarcação de terras em Tapauá gera polêmica". *Amazonas*  
 32 *em Tempo* [Manaus]. 17 June 2005, p. B-7.  
 33  
 34 Banega, A., and A. Simonetti. 2005. "Alfredo garante: Enfim, a BR-319 será recuperada."  
 35 *Amazonas em Tempo* [Manaus]. 6 March 2005, p. B-4.  
 36  
 37 Brazil, BNDES.1998. Transporte na região amazônica. Cadernos de Infra-Estrutura No. 7,  
 38 BNDES, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil. 114 pp.  
 39 [http://www.finame.com.br/conhecimento/cadernos/aicad\\_07.pdf](http://www.finame.com.br/conhecimento/cadernos/aicad_07.pdf)  
 40  
 41 Brazil, IBGE. 2005. IBGE. Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística (IBGE), Rio de  
 42 Janeiro, RJ, Brazil. <http://www.ibge.gov.br>  
 43  
 44 Brazil, Ministério de Planejamento, Orçamento e Gestão (MPOG). 2004. PPA 2004-2007.  
 45 Lista Geral de Projetos de Infra-estrutura. Setembro 2004. MPOG, Brasília, DF,  
 46 Brazil. [http://www.planobrasil.gov.br/arquivos/57\\_\(LivroVermelhonov2004\).pdf](http://www.planobrasil.gov.br/arquivos/57_(LivroVermelhonov2004).pdf)  
 47  
 48 Brazil, PIN (Programa de Integração Nacional). 1972. Colonização da Amazônia. PIN,  
 49 Brasília, DF, Brazil. 32 pp.

- 1  
2 Brazil, Programa Avança Brasil. 1999. PPA Plano Plurianual 2000-2003. Ministério de  
3 Planejamento, Brasília, DF, Brazil. <http://www.abrasil.gov.br>  
4
- 5 Brazil, Projeto RADAMBRASIL. 1973-1982. *Levantamento de Recursos Naturais*.  
6 Ministério das Minas e Energia, Departamento de Produção Mineral (DNPM), Rio de  
7 Janeiro, RJ, Brazil. 27 Vols.  
8
- 9 Brazil, SUFRAMA. 2005a. Indicadores de desempenho do pólo industrial de Manaus.  
10 Superintendência da Zona Franca de Manaus (SUFRAMA), Manaus, Amazonas,  
11 Brazil.  
12
- 13 Brazil, SUFRAMA. 2005b. Pólo industrial de Manaus. Superintendência da Zona Franca de  
14 Manaus (SUFRAMA), Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil. Powerpoint presentation.  
15
- 16 Browder, J.O. and B.J. Godfrey. 1997. *Rainforest Cities: Urbanization, Development and*  
17 *Globalization of the Brazilian Amazon*. Columbia University Press, New York, NY,  
18 U.S.A. 427 pp.  
19
- 20 CNT. nd [C. 2002]. Transporte de cargas no Brasil: Ameaças e oportunidades para o  
21 desenvolvimento do País. Diagnóstico e Plano de Ação. Confederação Nacional  
22 do Transporte (CNT) & Centro de Estudos em Logística (COPPEAD), Universidade  
23 Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil. 200 pp.  
24
- 25 Consórcio Brasileira. 1998. *Programa Brasil em Ação: Eixos Nacionais de Integração e*  
26 *Desenvolvimento*. Banco Nacional de Desenvolvimento Econômico e Social (BNDES)  
27 PBA/CN-01/97. Relatório Final do Marco Inicial, Consórcio Brasileira, Brasília, DF,  
28 Brazil. 3 vols.  
29
- 30 Davis, S. 1977. *Victims of the Miracle: Development and the Indians of Brazil*. Cambridge  
31 University Press, Cambridge, U.K. 205 pp.  
32
- 33 Estado do Amazonas. 2001. Zoneamento ecológico-econômico no Estado do Amazonas.  
34 Junho de 2001. Diretrizes iniciais para um ordenamento ecológico-econômico do  
35 sudeste-sul do Estado do Amazonas. Instituto de Proteção Ambiental do Amazonas  
36 (IPAAM), Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil.  
37
- 38 Fearnside, P. M. 1985. Agriculture in Amazonia. Pages 393-418 in G. T. Prance, T. E.  
39 Lovejoy (eds.), *Key Environments: Amazonia*. Pergamon Press, Oxford, U.K. 442 pp.  
40
- 41 Fearnside, P. M. 1986. Settlement in Rondônia and the token role of science and technology  
42 in Brazil's Amazonian development planning. *Interciencia* 11(5): 229-236.  
43
- 44 Fearnside, P.M. 1987a. Causes of Deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon. Pages 37-61 in  
45 R. F. Dickinson (ed.), *The Geophisiology of Amazonia: Vegetation and Climate*  
46 *Interactions*. John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, U.S.A. 526 pp.  
47
- 48 Fearnside, P. M. 1987b. Deforestation and international economic development projects in  
49 Brazilian Amazonia. *Conservation Biology* 1(3): 214-221.



- 1  
2 Fearnside, P. M. 1989a. Brazil's Balbina Dam: Environment versus the legacy of the  
3 pharaohs in Amazonia. *Environmental Management* 13(4): 401-423.  
4
- 5 Fearnside, P. M. 1989b. Ocupação humana de Rondônia: Impactos, limites e planejamento.  
6 Relatórios de Pesquisa No. 5,,: Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e  
7 Tecnológico (CNPq), Brasília, DF, Brazil. 76 pp.  
8
- 9 Fearnside, P. M. 2001. Land-tenure issues as factors in environmental destruction in Brazilian  
10 Amazonia: The case of southern Pará. *World Development* 29(8): 1361-1372.  
11
- 12 Fearnside, P. M. 2002. Avança Brasil: Environmental and social consequences of Brazil's  
13 planned infrastructure in Amazonia. *Environmental Management* 30(6): 748-763.  
14
- 15 Fearnside, P. M. 2003. Deforestation control in Mato Grosso: A new model for slowing the  
16 loss of Brazil's Amazon forest. *Ambio* 32(5): 343-345.  
17
- 18 Fearnside, P. M. 2005a. Carga pesada: O custo ambiental de asfaltar um corredor de soja na  
19 Amazônia. Pages. 397-423 in M. Torres (ed.) Amazônia revelada: Os descaminhos ao  
20 longo da BR-163. Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico  
21 (CNPq), Brasília, DF, Brazil. 496 pp.  
22
- 23 Fearnside, P. M. 2005b. Deforestation in Brazilian Amazonia: History, rates and  
24 consequences. *Conservation Biology* 19(3): 680-688.  
25
- 26 Fearnside, P. M., and R. I. Barbosa. 1996. Political benefits as barriers to assessment of  
27 environmental costs in Brazil's Amazonian development planning: The example of  
28 the Jatapu Dam in Roraima. *Environmental Management* 20(5): 615-630.  
29
- 30 Fearnside, P. M., and R. I. Barbosa. 2003. Avoided deforestation in Amazonia as a global  
31 warming mitigation measure: The case of Mato Grosso. *World Resource Review*  
32 15(3): 352-361.  
33
- 34 Ferreira, L. V., E. Venticinque, and S. S. de Almeida. 2005. O Desmatamento na Amazônia e  
35 a importância das áreas protegidas. *Estudos Avançados* 19(53): 1-10.  
36
- 37 *Folha de São Paulo*. 2005. "Em Sorriso, estrada divide Daslu e miséria." *Folha de São*  
38 *Paulo*. 19 June 2005, p. B-4.  
39
- 40 Garcia, R. A., B. S. Soares-Filho, and D. O. Sawyer. 2004. Dimensões socio-econômicos e  
41 movimentos populacionais: uma regionalização da Amazônia brasileira. Associação  
42 Brasileira de Estudos Populacionais (ABEP) Anais 2004. ABEP, Campinas, SP,  
43 Brazil.  
44 [http://www.abep.nepo.unicamp.br/site\\_eventos\\_abep/PDF/ABEP2004\\_663.pdf](http://www.abep.nepo.unicamp.br/site_eventos_abep/PDF/ABEP2004_663.pdf)  
45
- 46 IPIB. 2005. IPIB - Internet Produto Interno Bruto. Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil  
47 <http://www.ipib.com.br>  
48

- 1 Laurance, W. F., A. K. M. Albernaz, G. Schroth, P. M. Fearnside, S. Bergen, E. M.  
2 Ventincinque, and C. da Costa. 2002. Predictors of deforestation in the Brazilian  
3 Amazon. *Journal of Biogeography* 29: 737-748.  
4
- 5 Laurance, W. F., M. A. Cochrane, S. Bergen, P. M. Fearnside, P. Delamônica, C. Barber, S.  
6 D'Angelo, and T. Fernandes. 2001. The future of the Brazilian Amazon. *Science* 291:  
7 438-439.  
8
- 9 Litaiff, P. 2005. "Grilagem: Balas protegem as terras. Terras ocupadas por grileiros nos  
10 municípios do Sul do Amazonas são protegidas à bala por pistoleiros." *Amazonas em*  
11 *Tempo* [Manaus]. 4 June 2005, p. B-7.  
12
- 13 Mahar, D. J. 1976. Fiscal incentives for regional development: A case study of the western  
14 Amazon Basin. *Journal of Interamerican Studies and World Affairs* 18(3): 357-378.  
15
- 16 Nepstad, D. C., J. P. Capobianco, A. C. Barros, G. Carvalho, P. Moutinho, U. Lopes, and P.  
17 Lefebvre. 2000. *Avança Brasil: Os custos ambientais para Amazônia*. Instituto de  
18 Pesquisa Ambiental da Amazônia-IPAM, Belém, Pará, Brazil. 24 pp. (available at:  
19 <http://www.ipam.org.br/publicacoes/avancabrasil/>).  
20
- 21 Nepstad, D. C., G. Carvalho, A. C. Barros, A. A. Alencar, J. P. Capobianco, J. Bishop, P.  
22 Moutinho, P. Lefebvre, U. L. Silva, Jr., and E. Prins. 2001. Road paving, fire regime  
23 feedbacks, and the future of Amazon forests. *Forest Ecology and Management*  
24 154(3): 395-407.  
25
- 26 Ono, R. T. 2001. *Estudo de viabilidade do transporte marítimo de containers por cabotagem*  
27 *na costa brasileira*. Masters dissertation in engineering, Escola Politécnica da  
28 Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil. 136 pp.  
29
- 30 Rocha, J. 2005. Trabalho escravo: Presente, passado e futuro. pp. 237-269. In: M. Torres  
31 (ed.) *Amazônia revelada: Os descaminhos ao longo da BR-163*. Conselho Nacional de  
32 Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Brasília, DF, Brazil. 496 pp.  
33
- 34 Schneider, R. R., E. Arima, A. Veríssimo, P. Barreto, and C. Souza Junior. 2000. *Amazônia*  
35 *Sustentável: Limitantes e Oportunidades para o Desenvolvimento Rural*. World Bank,  
36 Brasília, DF and Instituto do Homem e Meio Ambiente da Amazônia (IMAZON),  
37 Belém, Pará, Brazil. 58 pp.  
38
- 39 Simonetti, A. 2005. "BR-163: Preservação em primeiro lugar. A secretária de Coordenação  
40 da Amazônia do Ministério do Meio Ambiente, Muriel Saragoussi, garante que a  
41 recuperação da rodovia BR-163 vai beneficiar a Zona Franca." *Amazonas em Tempo*  
42 [Manaus] 1 April 2005. p. A-3.  
43
- 44 Soares, P. 2005. Manaus é 3º PIB nacional. *Amazonas em Tempo* [Manaus] 19 November  
45 2005. p. A-7.  
46
- 47 Soares-Filho, B. S., D. C. Nepstad, L. M. Curran, G. C. Cerqueira; R. A. Garcia, C. A.  
48 Ramos, P. Lefebvre, P. Schlesinger, E. Voll, and D. McGrath. 2005. Cenários de  
49 desmatamento para Amazônia. *Estudos Avançados* 19 (54): 138-152.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12

Soares-Filho, B. S., D. C. Nepstad, L. M. Curran, G. C. Cerqueira; R. A. Garcia, C. A. Ramos, E. Voll, A. McDonald, P. Lefebvre, and P. Schlesinger. 2006. Modelling conservation in the Amazon basin. *Nature* 440: 520-523.

Thomas, W.I. and D. S. Thomas. 1928. *The child in America: Behavior problems and programs*. Alfred A. Knopf, New York, NY, U.S.A., 602 pp.

Turner, F. J. 1893. The significance of the frontier in American history, Proceedings of the American Historical Association for 1893, Pages 199-222. Reprinted in expanded form: *The frontier in American history*. Dover Publications, Mineola, NY, U.S.A., 384 pp. (1996).

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

### **FIGURE LEGENDS**

Figure 1 – Brazil with locations mentioned in the text.

Figure 2 – The BR-319 Highway.

Figure 3 – Per-capita income in Brazilian states in 2002 (data source: IPIB 2005). Values in Reais in 2002 (US\$1 = R\$ 2.28). “Rich” states have per-capita income over R\$8000.

Abbreviations of federative units: AC=Acre, AL=Alagoas, AM=Amazonas AP=Amapá  
BA=Bahia CE=Ceará DF=Distrito Federal ES=Espirito Santo, GO=Goiás, MA=Maranhão,  
MS=Mato Grosso do Sul, MT-Mato Grosso, PA=Pará, PE=Pernambuco, PI=Piauí,  
PR=Paraná, RJ=Rio de Janeiro, RN=Rio Grande do Norte, RO=Rondônia, RR=Roraima,  
RS=Rio Grande do Sul, SC=Santa Catarina, SE=Sergipe, SP=São Paulo, TO=Tocantins.

Fig. 1





