

The text that follows is a PREPRINT.

Please cite as:

Fearnside, P.M., R.I. Barbosa, and P.M.L.A. Graça. nd. Burning of Secondary Forest in Amazonia: Biomass, Burning Efficiency and Charcoal Formation during Land Preparation for Agriculture in Apiaú, Roraima, Brazil. *Forest Ecology and Management* (in press).

ISSN: 0378-1127

Copyright: Elsevier

The original publication is available at: <http://www.elsevier.com.nl>

1 **Burning of secondary forest in Amazonia:**
2 **Biomass, burning efficiency and charcoal**
3 **formation during land preparation for**
4 **agriculture in Apiaú, Roraima, Brazil**

5
6 Philip M. Fearnside*
7 Reinaldo Imbrozio Barbosa**
8 Paulo Maurício Lima de Alencastro Graça*

9 * Department of Ecology
10 National Institute for Research
11 in the Amazon (INPA)
12 Caixa Postal 478
13 69011-970 Manaus-Amazonas
14 BRAZIL
15 Fax: +55 - 92 - 3642-8909
16 E-mail: pmfearn@inpa.gov.br
17

18 ** Nucleus for Research in Roraima
19 National Institute for Research
20 in the Amazon (INPA)
21 Caixa Postal 96
22 69301-970 Boa Vista-Roraima
23 BRAZIL
24 Fax: +55 - 95 - 3623-9433
25

1 Feb. 2007

1 Abstract

2
3 In a burn of five-year-old secondary forest cleared for
4 agriculture in Roraima, Brazil, carbon partitioning was measured
5 for above-ground portions of both secondary forest (regrowth) and
6 the remains of original forest, felled and burned six years
7 previously. Above-ground dry weight biomass averaged 43.0 ± 6.5
8 Mg (megagrams = metric tons) per hectare (Mg ha^{-1}) for secondary
9 forest and $105.8 \pm 23.7 \text{ Mg ha}^{-1}$ for original forest remains. Pre-
10 and post-burn above-ground biomass loadings were estimated by
11 cutting and weighing six 60-m^2 plots and by line-intersect
12 sampling (LIS) done along the axis of each post-burn plot (three
13 transects), plus two supplementary LIS transects. High
14 variability of initial biomass made LIS more reliable for
15 assessing change in material >10 cm in diameter; quantities for
16 diameter classes <10 cm relied on direct weighing. Above-ground
17 carbon pools were reduced by 67.8% in secondary forest and 32.0%
18 in original forest remains. Burning released $28.8 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$
19 (original forest remains plus secondary forest biomass), or 41.6%
20 of the pre-burn total carbon stock in biomass. The remainder of
21 the carbon either remained as residual biomass ($39.2 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$ or
22 56.5% of pre-burn C) or as charcoal and ashes (1.4 Mg C ha^{-1} or
23 2.0%). Carbon stock in charcoal increased from $0.50 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$ to
24 $1.07 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$, a net gain of $0.57 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$, or 0.8% of the pre-
25 burn above-ground carbon stock. The net gain of charcoal carbon
26 was composed of $0.21 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$ from secondary forest biomass and
27 $0.36 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$ from original forest remains; 1.1% of the above-
28 ground secondary forest carbon was converted to charcoal, while
29 the corresponding percentage for original forest remains was
30 0.7%. Ashes contained an additional $0.29 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$, of which 0.11
31 Mg C ha^{-1} can be attributed to secondary forest biomass and 0.18
32 Mg C ha^{-1} to original forest remains. If the carbon in ashes is
33 assumed to be finely powdered charcoal, this stock adds 0.21% to
34 the charcoal formation percentage for secondary forest and 0.36%
35 to that for original forest remains. The overall charcoal-
36 formation percentage was 1.6%, or 2.0% if ashes are included.
37 Charcoal-formation percentages in this study are lower than those
38 sometimes assumed in global carbon models; nevertheless, charcoal
39 can represent an important sink of atmospheric carbon over long
40 time scales.

41
42
43 *Keywords:* Biomass burning; Carbon dioxide; Deforestation; Global
44 warming; Greenhouse gases; Secondary forest

45

1 1. Introduction

2
3 Conversion of mature tropical forests to agricultural
4 landscapes releases carbon dioxide (CO₂) and other gases to the
5 atmosphere that contribute to global warming through the
6 greenhouse effect. One source of controversy in evaluating the
7 net effect of tropical deforestation is the extent to which
8 carbon releases from the original clearing are attenuated by
9 removal of carbon from the atmosphere through regrowth of
10 secondary forests on the deforested sites (Achard et al., 2002,
11 Brown and Lugo, 1990; Fearnside, 1996a, 1997; Fearnside and
12 Guimarães, 1996; Fearnside and Laurance, 2004; Houghton et al.,
13 2000). The amount of carbon stored in the secondary forests and
14 released at the time secondary forests are burned depends both on
15 the biomass accumulation and the completeness of the burns.

16
17 Fixing of carbon in secondary forests is temporary, the age
18 of the stands when re-cleared being important in determining the
19 proportion of the total cycle (including use periods as
20 agriculture or as pasture) that is spent under secondary forest.
21 Charcoal formed in the burn provides one of the only routes for
22 carbon to be removed from the cycle, such that it cannot readily
23 recombine with oxygen to form carbon dioxide. On the other hand,
24 while burning of the secondary forest biomass releases no more
25 carbon dioxide than was removed from the atmosphere as the
26 secondary forest grew, this burning also releases methane (CH₄)
27 and other trace gases that do not enter photosynthetic reactions.
28 Burning of secondary forest biomass therefore makes a net
29 contribution to the atmospheric buildup of these non-CO₂
30 combustion products. Globally, burning of tropical secondary
31 forests is estimated to release (under low and high trace-gas
32 emissions scenarios), $3.1-3.7 \times 10^6$ Mg CH₄, $73-92 \times 10^6$ Mg CO,
33 $0.2-1.6 \times 10^6$ Mg N₂O and 2.4×10^6 Mg NO_x (Fearnside, 2000). Using
34 the 100-year global warming potentials adopted for the 2008-2012
35 first commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol (Schimel et al.,
36 1996, p. 121), these trace-gas emissions are equivalent to $34-156$
37 $\times 10^6$ Mg C annually.

38
39 The fate of the biomass remaining from the original forest
40 is also important in calculating the rate at which carbon is
41 released from the portion of the original forest biomass that did
42 not burn at the time of initial clearing, much of which will
43 decay. While combustion in primary forest burns has received more
44 attention (e.g., Araújo et al., 1999; Carvalho Jr. et al., 1995,
45 1998; Fearnside et al., 1993, 1999, 2001; Graça et al., 1999;
46 Guild et al., 1998; Kauffman et al., 1995), very few measurements
47 have been made on secondary forest burns in Brazilian Amazonia
48 (Guimarães, 1993; Hughes et al., 2000). The present study reports
49 on a secondary forest burn in Roraima, in northern Brazil. These
50 data will contribute to reducing the uncertainty in calculation
51 of the net contribution to global climate change made by

1 secondary forest burning (as in shifting cultivation).

2 3 **2. Methods**

4 5 *2.1. Study area*

6
7 Colônia do Apiaú is a government-sponsored colonization
8 project located in the county (*município*) of Mucajaí, state of
9 Roraima, Brazil. The headquarters of the colonization project is
10 at Vila Apiaú (2°34'N, 61°18'W), which lies 112 km by road
11 southwest of the city of Boa Vista, the state capital (Fig. 1).
12 The area is part of a Rapid Settlement Project (*Projeto de*
13 *Assentamento Rápido: PAR*), an area in which settlement began in
14 November 1981, initially in 23,608 ha. The present area is
15 approximately double that occupied by the 60-100-ha lots of the
16 original project, as the settlement scheme has expanded through
17 distribution of additional lots. The area is bounded by the Apiaú
18 and Mucajaí rivers to the north and northeast and by a range of
19 hills (the Serra de Mucajaí) to the south. The climate is
20 classified as "Ami" in the Köppen system--a rainy tropical
21 climate with a marked dry season (December to March) (Barbosa,
22 1997). Mean annual rainfall is approximately 2000 mm and mean
23 relative humidity is 87% (Lameira and Coimbra, 1988). The
24 altitude ranges from 100 to 180 m (excepting the hills).
25 Additional information on the site is available elsewhere
26 (Barbosa and Fearnside, 1996a,b). Apiaú has become renowned as
27 the main focus of the Great Roraima Fire that burned an estimated
28 11,394-13,928 km² of standing upland forest over the December
29 1997-March 1998 period (Barbosa and Fearnside, 1999).

30
31 [Figure 1 here]

32
33 In the April 1991 secondary forest burn that is the subject
34 of the present study, burn quality was considered to be good by
35 the farmer, who planted maize and manioc on the site. The
36 secondary forest was cut in late February and early March and the
37 cut biomass was burned on 14 April (at 2:00 pm), after four
38 consecutive days without rain. The farmer conducted the burn and
39 selected its time and date without interference from the research
40 team. The area burned totaled approximately 1 ha. The original
41 forest had been felled and burned in 1985, after which the area
42 was planted in annual crops. The principal tree species in the
43 felled original forest was *Hymenolobium complicatum* (angelim
44 ferro), which has hard wood with basic density of approximately
45 0.800 g cm⁻³ and is resistant to both decomposition and fire.
46 Secondary forest (mainly *Cecropia* spp.) was allowed to grow on
47 the site beginning in 1986, and was five years old at the time it
48 was cut and burned.

49 50 *2.2. Preparations and destructive sampling*

51
52 The study used a modified version of the methodology

1 employed in studies of burning efficiency and charcoal formation
2 in mature forest burns at Manaus (Amazonas), Altamira (Pará) and
3 Ariquemes (Rondônia) (Fearnside et al., 1993, 1999, 2001; Graça
4 et al., 1999). In the present study, plots were laid out in a
5 star-shaped design, each with six rays of 4 × 15 m (double the
6 width and half the length used in our primary forest studies,
7 made necessary by the small area of the clearing and its L-shaped
8 format). Each plot was divided into sub-plots of 4 × 5 m (Fig.
9 2). The star-shaped plot design avoids bias from non-random
10 orientation of the fallen trunks, which often are deliberately
11 cut to fall in parallel (i.e., to fall outwards into the clearing
12 as cutting proceeds into a stand of trees).

13
14 [Figure 2 here]

15
16 Within each plot, all biomass above ground level was cut
17 with chainsaws, axes and machetes, and weighed using a series of
18 spring balances, chosen depending on the weight of the load.
19 Balances ranged in capacity from 50 g (± 1 g) up to 90 kg (± 1
20 kg). In both the pre- and post-burn plots, biomass and charcoal
21 were divided into categories referring to secondary forest and
22 remains of the former primary forest; material in these two
23 categories was further divided into the following fractions or
24 pools: wood with diameter <5 cm, 5-10 cm, and >10 cm; vines;
25 palms; and "other" (bamboo and other grasses, palm leaves, etc.),
26 plus additional categories for charcoal on the ground, charcoal
27 still attached to unburned biomass (both original forest remains
28 and secondary forest biomass), and ashes. Litter and leaves were
29 considered as a separate group, being composed of fine detritus
30 originating both from the secondary forest biomass and from the
31 remains of the original forest. Not all of the above categories
32 had any material present for each type of biomass origin
33 (secondary forest or original forest remains) and time of
34 collection with respect to the burn (before or after). Sub-
35 samples of each fraction (0.16-1.13 kg, depending on the diameter
36 class) were collected in each plot for determination of water
37 content for calculating dry weights.

38
39 All wood pieces were divided into sub-categories as "rotten"
40 or "sound" (i.e., not rotten). Rottenness was determined from the
41 look and feel of the wood. Density and moisture content were
42 determined separately for the two sub-categories. Presence or
43 absence of charcoal was noted for material in all diameter
44 classes.

45
46 Charcoal on the ground was collected manually, taking all
47 black material visible to the eye in a close examination of the
48 ground from the vantage of a squatting or crawling position. Some
49 finely powdered charcoal is undoubtedly left behind by this
50 procedure. For charcoal attached to the biomass fractions, char
51 was scraped off the wood of trunks, branches, and vines using
52 machetes (see Fearnside et al., 1999).

1
2 *2.3. Line-intersect sampling (LIS)*
3

4 Line-intersect sampling (LIS) transects (Warren and Olsen,
5 1964) were run along the central axis of each plot (plus two
6 supplementary transects of the same length, with randomly chosen
7 directions). Measurements of cross-sectional diameter were made
8 for all pieces with diameters >10 cm that intersected the line;
9 two measurements were made at right angles to the axis of each
10 piece (Van Wagner, 1968). Only wood and palms from the original
11 forest were included in the sample (secondary forest wood was
12 excluded, even though it was measured).
13

14 The thickness of charcoal was measured on all pieces that
15 had been charred by the fire. Measurements were made at four
16 points around the circumference of each piece: top, bottom, and
17 two sides; in cases where a trunk was lying on the ground, the
18 "bottom" measurement was made on one side as closely as possible
19 to ground level (NB: random points around the circumference are
20 recommended instead). For each measurement, a cut was made with a
21 light blow of a machete perpendicular to the axis of the piece of
22 wood. The thickness of the black layer of charcoal was then
23 measured with a clear plastic ruler calibrated in millimeters.
24

25 Aluminum tags were affixed to the pieces with nails to allow
26 identification of the same pieces after the fire.
27

28 *2.4. Dry weight*
29

30 All samples were dried in an electric oven at 80° C to
31 constant weight.
32

33 *2.5. Carbon content*
34

35 Carbon content was calculated based on values obtained for
36 the same fractions in another study in the same settlement area
37 (Barbosa and Fearnside, 1996b). In both cases, samples were
38 ground and analyzed for carbon content by the "dry" method, which
39 converts the carbon in the plant mixture into CO₂ by combustion
40 at 1100° C. The gas released is sent to a cell containing sodium
41 hydroxide with standardized electrical conductivity. Carbon
42 content of the material is calculated from the difference between
43 the conductivity of the standard solution and that of the
44 carbonated solution. Carbon content was determined at the Center
45 for Nuclear Energy in Agricultura (CENA), University of São
46 Paulo, Piracicaba, São Paulo, Brazil.
47

48 *2.6. Burning efficiency*
49

50 Burning efficiency, or the percentage of the carbon stock
51 that disappears as a result of burning (presumed volatilized),
52 was determined by a combination of the direct (destructive) and

1 indirect (LIS) methods. Direct measurements compare nearby but
2 different plots, as the same plots cannot be used for successive
3 destructive measurements: the same biomass pieces cannot be
4 weighed before and after the burn because the process of cutting
5 and weighing the pieces would disturb their placement and their
6 burning characteristics. The amount of biomass present varies
7 greatly over small distances, especially for material >10 cm in
8 diameter. Given these facts, the most effective means of
9 estimating the change in carbon stock is to estimate the stocks
10 of small-diameter material directly in the destructive plots (the
11 change, for these components, being determined by differences
12 between the pre- and post-burn stocks), and to use LIS
13 measurements at marked locations on the >10 cm diameter biomass
14 pieces to measure the *change* in volume (and carbon stock) for the
15 large-diameter categories.

16 17 2.7 Wood Density

18
19 Density (dry weight/humid volume) of wood >10 cm in diameter
20 of original forest remains was calculated based on the Archimedes
21 Principle (displacement of volume by immersion in water). This
22 was done by transforming the volume result obtained from the LIS
23 into biomass for all pieces with diameter >10 cm. Since
24 variability is high and the number of pieces collected is small
25 relative to the sampling universe, we calculated the mean for the
26 basic density of "sound" and "rotten" pieces by lumping the
27 densities for each of the sampling units collected in both phases
28 of the burn (pre and post), keeping the two wood qualities
29 separate ("sound" and "rotten").

30 31 3. Results

32
33 Density (pre- and post-burn) of sound wood >10 cm in
34 diameter of original forest remains is higher (mean = $0.803 \pm$
35 0.122 g cm^{-3} ; $n = 5$) than that of rotten wood (mean = $0.774 \pm$
36 0.217 g cm^{-3} ; $n = 19$) in absolute terms. Note that the small
37 sample sizes, particularly for sound wood, imply considerable
38 uncertainty in this result.

39
40 The total above-ground biomass dry weight, considering the
41 combined results of direct measurements and indirect estimates
42 for the class of wood >10 cm in diameter, was $148.9 \pm 18.7 \text{ Mg ha}^{-1}$
43 in the pre-burn phase and $86.7 \text{ Mg} \pm 17.4 \text{ ha}^{-1}$ in the post-burn
44 phase; a reduction of 41.8% (Table 1). Of the total pre-burn
45 biomass, 105.8 Mg ha^{-1} (71.1%) was composed of original forest
46 components, and 43.0 Mg ha^{-1} (28.9%) of secondary forest
47 components. These figures include an apportionment of other
48 components like litter, ashes and charcoal (on the ground and in
49 the wood), totaling 7.1% (10.6 Mg ha^{-1}) of the pre-burn biomass.
50 After the burn, 41.2% (1.77 Mg ha^{-1}) of the total mass (secondary
51 forest plus forest remains) of these components was ash, 39.2%
52 (1.69 Mg ha^{-1}) charcoal and 19.6% (0.84 Mg ha^{-1}) litter.

1
2 [Table 1 here]
3

4 Wood pieces <5 cm (17.85 Mg ha^{-1} sound + 0.26 Mg ha^{-1} rotten)
5 in diameter represented 42.1% of the secondary forest biomass.
6 This fraction's susceptibility to fire is reflected in its high
7 burning efficiency (>80%). For biomass of the remaining forest,
8 the most abundant component was wood in diameter >10 cm, with
9 96.5 Mg ha^{-1} , or 91.2%, before the burn and 69.3 Mg ha^{-1} , or
10 94.4%, after the burn. In the pre-burn phase, 99.2% of the wood
11 >10 cm in diameter from the secondary forest and 49.0% of the
12 forest remains in this diameter class were categorized as sound,
13 while in the post-burn phase these values were 100% and 46.7%,
14 respectively.
15

16 The stock of carbon in above-ground secondary forest
17 biomass before the burn was $18.6 \pm 3.7 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$, including all
18 litter (Table 2). After the burn, the carbon stock in secondary
19 forest was reduced to $6.0 \pm 1.4 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$, or 32.2% of the
20 initial amount. The original forest remains had a pre-burn stock
21 of $50.8 \pm 2.7 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$, representing 73.3% of the total pre-burn
22 above-ground carbon stock (Table 2). Carbon release from the
23 original forest remains was $16.3 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$, or 23.4% of the
24 initial stock. Secondary forest and original forest remains
25 together had a stock of $69.4 \pm 3.0 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$ and released 28.8 Mg
26 C ha^{-1} , or 41.6% of the initial stock (Table 2).
27

28 [Table 2 here]
29

30 The dry weight of charcoal present before the burn totaled
31 0.80 Mg ha^{-1} (only original forest remains), while that present
32 after the burn totaled 1.69 Mg ha^{-1} (forest remains plus
33 secondary forest) indicating a net increase of 0.89 Mg ha^{-1}
34 (Table 1). In terms of carbon, the charcoal stock increased from
35 0.50 to $1.07 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$, or $0.57 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$ (Table 2). The net gain
36 represents 0.8% of the total pre-burn above-ground carbon. The
37 net gain of charcoal carbon is composed of $0.21 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$ from
38 secondary forest biomass and $0.36 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$ from original forest
39 remains; 1.1% of the above-ground secondary forest carbon was
40 converted to charcoal, while the corresponding percentage for
41 original forest remains was 0.7%.
42

43 Ashes, which were not present before the burn, represented
44 a gain of $0.29 \pm 0.32 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$, or 0.42% of the pre-burn carbon
45 stock (Table 2), considering that they contain 16.1% carbon
46 (Barbosa and Fearnside, 1996b). The ashes can be apportioned
47 between the two types of biomass burned based on the proportion
48 of the estimated carbon emission represented by each (exclusive
49 of common components); $0.11 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$ can be attributed to the
50 secondary forest biomass and $0.18 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$ to the original
51 forest remains. If the carbon in ashes is assumed to be finely
52 powdered charcoal, it adds 0.21% to the charcoal formation

1 percentage for secondary forest and 0.36% to that for original
2 forest remains. The overall charcoal formation percentage was
3 1.6%, or 2.0% if ashes are included.

4
5 Most of the carbon in the pre-burn biomass was in the
6 original forest remains (50.3 Mg C ha⁻¹ or 72.5%), as was also
7 the case for the post-burn (33.5 Mg C ha⁻¹ or 82.7%) (Fig. 3).
8 The percentage represented by secondary forest fell from 21.4%
9 (pre-burn) to 13.1% (post-burn), while the percentage in charcoal
10 and ashes increased from 0.7% (pre-burn) to 3.3% (post-burn).

11 [Figure 3 here]

12
13
14 The percentage of carbon presumed released from the
15 secondary forest carbon pool (67.8%) is more than double the
16 percentage released from the original forest remains (32.0%)
17 (Table 2 and Fig. 4). The total amount of carbon released by the
18 burn, considering the sum of the two biomass categories (original
19 forest remains plus secondary forest) was 28.8 Mg C ha⁻¹ or 41.6%
20 of the total carbon present before the burn (Fig. 4). The
21 remainder of the carbon remained as residual biomass (39.2 Mg C
22 ha⁻¹ or 56.4%) or was left as charcoal or ashes (1.4 Mg C ha⁻¹ or
23 2.0%).

24 [Figure 4 here]

25 4. Discussion

26
27
28 The above-ground carbon stock in the secondary forest
29 biomass and charcoal declined from 18.5 Mg C ha⁻¹ to 6.0 Mg C ha⁻¹
30 as a result of the burn studied, implying a release of 67.8% of
31 the pre-burn carbon stock in this category (burning efficiency)
32 (see Table 2). Burning efficiency was 32.0% for remains of
33 original forest. The corresponding transformation efficiencies (%
34 of pre-burn biomass converted to charcoal and other components
35 post-burn) were 69.1% for secondary forest biomass and 30.7% for
36 original forest remains.

37
38
39 For comparison, in Costa Rica, Ewel et al. (1981) found a
40 burning efficiency of 30% in an 8-9 year-old secondary forest.
41 Guimarães (1993) found a burning efficiency of 25.9% and a
42 transformation efficiency of 27.0% in secondary forest stands
43 averaging four years of age in abandoned pastures near Altamira,
44 Pará. Barbosa and Fearnside (1996b) found a burning efficiency of
45 13.2% (and transformation efficiency of 14.1%) in original forest
46 remains in an abandoned pasture seven years after felling in the
47 same settlement area. Hughes et al. (2000) found burning
48 efficiencies averaging 57.0% (range 38.3 to 84.4%) in six
49 secondary forests in Pará and Rondônia.

50
51 Our percentage of charcoal formation is low compared with
52 Seiler and Crutzen's (1980) estimate. Seiler and Crutzen's (1980,

1 p. 237) charcoal carbon value (20-30% of above-ground post-burn
2 carbon) corresponds to 15-23% of the above-ground pre-burn carbon
3 using the 25% burning efficiency they assumed (p. 219). We found
4 charcoal formation of 0.7% for carbon in original forest remains
5 and 1.1% for carbon in secondary forest biomass, with a mean for
6 all material of 0.8%. Our 0.8% mean for the pre-burn above-ground
7 carbon converted to charcoal is only 3.5-5.5% as high as Seiler
8 and Crutzen's (1980) value. However, burn quality varies greatly
9 among fires (Fearnside, 1989), and we have data from only one
10 fire. More measurements are needed to assure that the estimated
11 charcoal formation percentage approaches the population mean.
12

13 The charcoal production estimate excludes particulate
14 graphitic carbon released as soot in the smoke. This can be
15 estimated (following Fearnside, 1996b) by assuming that secondary
16 forest biomass burns through flaming combustion and original
17 forest remains through smoldering combustion. Graphitic
18 particulate carbon represents 7% of the total particulate release
19 in Amazonian burning (calculated by Kaufman et al., 1990 from
20 Andreae et al., 1988). Total particulates can be calculated from
21 the ratio of methane gas release to total particulates derived by
22 Kaufman et al. (1990) from Ward and Hardy (1984) and Ward (1986):
23 0.3 for flaming combustion and 0.6 for smoldering combustion. In
24 a low trace gas scenario (Fearnside, 1996b), methane gas release
25 is 0.005 t CH₄ per ton of fuel burned (Kaufman et al., 1990 from
26 Ward, 1986) and in a high trace gas scenario it is 0.006 t CH₄
27 per ton of fuel burned (Kaufman et al., 1990 from Greenberg et
28 al., 1984). Fuel carbon content for conversion of these values to
29 emissions per ton of fuel carbon burned is 48.15% (Fearnside,
30 1996b). The emissions of graphitic carbon in kg graphitic C per
31 ton of fuel C burned in the low and high trace gas scenarios are
32 2.42 and 2.91 for flaming combustion and 1.70 and 2.67 for
33 smoldering combustion; the lower emission of particulate carbon
34 from smoldering combustion is mainly the result of the higher
35 ratio of CH₄ to total particulates found in the laboratory
36 combustion studies mentioned above (Ward, 1986; Ward and Hardy,
37 1984). The 12.27 Mg C ha⁻¹ (excluding ashes and charcoal) of
38 secondary forest biomass carbon released (flaming combustion) in
39 the burn we studied therefore released 29.6-35.7 kg C ha⁻¹ as
40 graphitic particulate carbon, while the 15.2 Mg C ha⁻¹ from
41 original forest remains (smoldering combustion) released 25.8-
42 40.6 kg C ha⁻¹, making the total release 0.0554-0.0763 Mg C ha⁻¹
43 in this form, or 4.1-5.6% as much as the 1.36 Mg C ha⁻¹ left as
44 charcoal and ashes.
45

46 Globally, an estimated 555 × 10⁶ Mg of secondary forest
47 biomass is exposed to burning annually in short-fallow and long-
48 fallow shifting cultivation that is allowed to recover, plus 12 ×
49 10⁶ Mg of biomass in short-fallow secondary forest that is
50 permanently cleared, based on 1981-1990 rates derived from FAO
51 (1993) (Fearnside, 2000). FAO (1996, p. 89) defines short fallow
52 as a "mosaic of young secondary forest, various stages of natural

1 regrowth and cultivated areas with cultivated areas covering
2 between 30 and 50% of total area," and long fallow as a "mosaic
3 of mature forest, secondary forest, various stages of natural
4 regrowth and cultivated areas with cultivated areas covering
5 between 5 and 30% of total area." The three existing studies
6 have mean charcoal formation rates (% of pre-burn above-ground
7 carbon converted to charcoal) of 0.8% from the present study,
8 1.1% in a secondary-forest burn in Altamira, Pará (Guimarães,
9 1993), and 0.8% for secondary forest remains in a pasture burn in
10 Roraima (Barbosa and Fearnside, 1996b). Variation in charcoal
11 formation percentages among burns is to be expected as a result
12 of differing fuel characteristics and fire temperatures. Based on
13 this mean charcoal formation percentage, global burning of
14 secondary forest biomass annually converts 5.0×10^6 Mg of C to
15 charcoal. Long-fallow shifting cultivation cleared permanently is
16 lumped with original forest clearing in FAO (1993) data; these
17 two processes together expose 1497×10^6 Mg of biomass to burning
18 annually, transforming 33.0×10^6 Mg of C to charcoal (Fearnside,
19 2000). Black carbon, if defined as that resisting oxidation at
20 340°C , makes up 52-63% of the charcoal mass (Kuhlbusch and
21 Crutzen, 1995). The annual production of $5.0 + 33.0 = 38.0 \times 10^6$
22 Mg of charcoal C from primary and secondary forest biomass
23 burning in the tropics therefore leads to an annual deposition of
24 $19.8\text{-}23.9 \times 10^6$ Mg C in the form of black carbon. Black carbon
25 deposited in soil and in ocean sediments is a key factor
26 affecting atmospheric CO_2 and O_2 levels over geological time
27 scales (Kuhlbusch, 1998).

28

29 **5. Conclusions**

30

31 Secondary forest biomass burns much more thoroughly than
32 primary forest biomass, either in the burns accompanying the
33 initial deforestation or the burning of original forest remains
34 when the areas are reburned either as pasture or as secondary
35 forest. This study found burning efficiencies (% of above-ground
36 pre-burn carbon stock that is released to the atmosphere) of
37 67.8% for secondary forest biomass, 32.0% for original forest
38 remains, and 41.6% overall in the burn studied. The corresponding
39 percentages considering only the fate of biomass (i.e., excluding
40 pre-burn charcoal + ashes) were 69.5%, 30.4% and 43.1%. Charcoal
41 was formed from 1.1% of the pre-burn above-ground biomass carbon
42 stock for secondary forest biomass, 0.7% for original forest
43 remains and 0.8% overall. These charcoal-formation percentages
44 are lower than those sometimes assumed in global carbon models;
45 nevertheless, charcoal can represent an important sink of
46 atmospheric carbon over long time scales. The results of the
47 present study have applications in estimates of carbon release
48 from tropical land-use change, thereby helping to reduce the
49 uncertainty in estimates of greenhouse-gas emissions.

50

51 **Acknowledgments**

52

1 The Pew Scholars Program in Conservation and the
 2 Environment, the National Council of Scientific and Technological
 3 Development (CNPq AIs 350230/97-98 and 523980/96-5), the
 4 "Capacidade de Suporte Humano na Amazônia" project of the
 5 Fundação Banco do Brasil (FBB) (No. 10/1615-2) and the National
 6 Institute for Research in the Amazon (INPA PPIs 5-3150 and 1-3160
 7 provided financial support. We thank Sr. David for allowing us to
 8 conduct this study in his lot. Embrapa Roraima in Boa Vista
 9 kindly permitted us to use their ovens and laboratory facilities.
 10 We thank S.V. Wilson and four anonymous reviewers for comments on
 11 the manuscript.

12 13 **References**

- 14
 15 Achard, F., Eva, H.D., Stibig, H.J., Mayaux, P., Gallego, J.
 16 Richards, T., Malingreau J-P., 2002. Determination of
 17 deforestation rates of the world's humid tropical forests.
 18 Science 297, 999-1002.
 19
 20 Andreae, M.O., Browell, E.V., Garstang, M., Gregory, G.L.,
 21 Harriss, R.C., Hill, G.F., Jacob, D.J., Pereira, M.C., Sachse,
 22 G.W., Setzer, A.W., Silva Dias, P.L., Talbot, R.W., Torres, A.L.,
 23 Wofsy, S.C., 1988. Biomass-burning emissions and associated haze
 24 layers over Amazonia. Journal of Geophysical Research
 25 (Atmospheres) 93(D2), 1509-1527.
 26
 27 Araújo, T.M., Carvalho Jr., J.A., Higuchi, N., Brasil Jr.,
 28 A.C.P., Mesquita, A.L.A., 1999. A tropical rainforest clearing
 29 experiment by biomass burning in the state of Pará, Brazil.
 30 Atmospheric Environment 33, 1991-1998.
 31
 32 Barbosa, R.I., 1997. Distribuição das chuvas em Roraima. In:
 33 Barbosa, R.I., Ferreira, E., Castellon, E.B. (Eds.) Homem,
 34 Ambiente e Ecologia no Estado de Roraima. Instituto Nacional de
 35 Pesquisas da Amazônia (INPA), Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil. pp. 273-
 36 285
 37
 38 Barbosa, R.I., Fearnside, P.M., 1996a. Carbon and nutrient flows
 39 in an Amazonian forest: Fine litter production and composition at
 40 Apiaú, Roraima, Brazil. Tropical Ecology 37(1), 115-125.
 41
 42 Barbosa, R.I., Fearnside, P.M., 1996b. Pasture burning in
 43 Amazonia: Dynamics of residual biomass and the storage and
 44 release of aboveground carbon. Journal of Geophysical Research
 45 (Atmospheres) 101(D20), 25,847-25,857.
 46
 47 Barbosa, R.I., Fearnside, P.M., 1999. Incêndios na Amazônia
 48 brasileira: Estimativa da emissão de gases do efeito estufa pela
 49 queima de diferentes ecossistemas de Roraima na passagem do
 50 evento "El Niño" (1997/98). Acta Amazonica 29(4): 513-534.
 51
 52 Brown, S., Lugo, A.E., 1990. Tropical secondary forests. Journal

- 1 of Tropical Ecology 6: 1-32.
2
- 3 Carvalho Jr., J.A., Higuchi, N., Araújo, T.M., Santos, J.C.,
4 1998. Combustion completeness in a rainforest clearing experiment
5 in Manaus, Brazil. Journal of Geophysical Research (Atmospheres)
6 103(D11): 13,195-13,199.
7
- 8 Carvalho Jr., J.A., Santos, J.M., Santos, J.C., Leitão, M.M.,
9 Higuchi, N., 1995. A tropical rainforest clearing experiment by
10 biomass burning in the Manaus region. Atmospheric Environment
11 29(17), 2301-2309.
12
- 13 Ewell, J., Berish, C., Brown, B., Price, N., Raich, J., 1981.
14 Slash and burn impacts on a Costa Rican wet forest site. Ecology
15 62(3), 816-829.
16
- 17 FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations),
18 1993. Forest Resources Assessment 1990: Tropical Countries. FAO
19 Forestry Paper 112, FAO, Rome, Italy. 61 pp.
20
- 21 FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations),
22 1996. Forest Resources Assessment 1990: Survey of Tropical Forest
23 Cover and Study of Change Processes. FAO Forestry Paper 130, FAO,
24 Rome, Italy. 152 pp.
25
- 26 Fearnside, P.M., 1989. Burn quality prediction for simulation of
27 the agricultural system of Brazil's Transamazon Highway
28 colonists. Turrialba 39(2), 229-235.
29
- 30 Fearnside, P.M., 1996a. Amazonian deforestation and global
31 warming: Carbon stocks in vegetation replacing Brazil's Amazon
32 forest. Forest Ecology and Management 80(1-3), 21-34.
33
- 34 Fearnside, P.M., 1996b. Amazonia and global warming: Annual
35 balance of greenhouse gas emissions from land-use change in
36 Brazil's Amazon region. In: Levine, J. (Ed.) Biomass Burning and
37 Global Change. Volume 2: Biomass Burning in South America,
38 Southeast Asia and Temperate and Boreal Ecosystems and the Oil
39 Fires of Kuwait. MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts. pp. 606-
40 617.
41
- 42 Fearnside, P.M., 1997. Greenhouse gases from deforestation in
43 Brazilian Amazonia: Net committed emissions. Climatic Change
44 35(3), 321-360.
45
- 46 Fearnside, P.M., 2000a. Global warming and tropical land-use
47 change: Greenhouse gas emissions from biomass burning,
48 decomposition and soils in forest conversion, shifting
49 cultivation and secondary vegetation. Climatic Change 46(1/2),
50 115-158.
51
- 52 Fearnside, P.M., 2000b. Greenhouse gas emissions from land-use

- 1 change in Brazil's Amazon region. In: Lal, R., Kimble, J.M.,
2 Stewart, B.A. (Eds.) Global Climate Change and Tropical
3 Ecosystems. Advances in Soil Science. CRC Press, Boca Raton,
4 Florida. pp. 231-249.
5
- 6 Fearnside, P.M., Graça, P.M.L.A., Leal Filho, N., Rodrigues,
7 F.J.A., Robinson, J.M., 1999. Tropical forest burning in
8 Brazilian Amazonia: Measurements of biomass loading, burning
9 efficiency and charcoal formation at Altamira, Pará. Forest
10 Ecology and Management 123(1), 65-79.
11
- 12 Fearnside, P.M., Graça, P.M.L.A., Rodrigues, F.J.A., 2001.
13 Burning of Amazonian rainforests: Burning efficiency and charcoal
14 formation in forest cleared for cattle pasture near Manaus,
15 Brazil. Forest Ecology and Management 146(1-3), 115-128.
16
- 17 Fearnside, P.M., Guimarães, W.M., 1996. Carbon uptake by
18 secondary forests in Brazilian Amazonia. Forest Ecology and
19 Management 80(1-3), 35-46.
20
- 21 Fearnside, P.M., Leal Filho, N., Fernandes, F.M., 1993.
22 Rainforest burning and the global carbon budget: Biomass,
23 combustion efficiency and charcoal formation in the Brazilian
24 Amazon. Journal of Geophysical Research (Atmospheres) 98(D9),
25 16,733-16,743.
26
- 27 Graça, P.M.L.A., Fearnside, P.M., Cerri, C.C., 1999. Burning of
28 Amazonian forest in Ariquemes, Rondônia, Brazil: Biomass,
29 charcoal formation and burning efficiency. Forest Ecology and
30 Management 120(1-3), 179-191.
31
- 32 Greenberg, J.P., Zimmerman, P.R., Heidt, L., Pollock, W., 1984.
33 Hydrocarbon and carbon monoxide emissions from biomass burning in
34 Brazil. Journal of Geophysical Research (Atmospheres) 89(D1),
35 1350-1354.
36
- 37 Guild, L.S., Kauffman, J.B., Ellingston, L.J., Cummings, D.L.,
38 Castro, E.A., 1998. Dynamics associated with total aboveground
39 biomass, C, nutrient pools, and biomass burning of primary forest
40 and pasture in Rondonia, Brazil during SCAR-B. Journal of
41 Geophysical Research (Atmospheres) 103(D24), 32,091-32,100.
42
- 43 Guimarães, W.M., 1993. Liberação de Carbono e Mudanças nos
44 Estoques dos Nutrientes Contidos na Biomassa Aérea e no Solo
45 Resultante de Queimadas de Florestas Secundárias em Áreas de
46 Pastagens Abandonadas, em Altamira, Pará. Masters thesis in
47 ecology, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia/Fundação
48 Universidade do Amazonas (INPA/FUA), Manaus, Amazonas, Brazil. 69
49 pp.
50
- 51 Houghton, R.J.A., Skole, D.L., Nobre, C.A., Hackler, J.L.,
52 Lawrence, K.T., Chomentowski, W.H., 2000. Annual fluxes of carbon

- 1 from deforestation and regrowth in the Brazilian Amazon. *Nature*
2 403, 301-304.
3
- 4 Hughes, R.F., Kauffman, J.B., Cummings, D.L., 2000. Fire in the
5 Brazilian Amazon. 3. Dynamics of biomass, C, and nutrient pools
6 in regenerating forests. *Oecologia* 124(4), 574-588.
7
- 8 Kauffman, J.B., Cummings, D.L., Ward, D.E., Babbitt, R., 1995.
9 Fire in the Brazilian Amazon: Biomass, nutrient pools, and losses
10 in slashed primary forest. *Oecologia* 104, 397-408.
11
- 12 Kaufman, Y.J., Setzer, A.W., Justice, C., Tucker, C.J., Pereira,
13 M.G., Fung, I., 1990. Remote sensing of biomass burning in the
14 tropics. In: Goldammer, J.G. (Ed.) *Fire in the Tropical Biota:*
15 *Ecosystem Processes and Global Challenges.* Springer-Verlag,
16 Heidelberg, Germany. pp. 371-399.
17
- 18 Kuhlbusch, T.A.J., 1998. Black carbon and the carbon cycle.
19 *Science* 280, 1903-1904.
20
- 21 Kuhlbusch, T.A.J., Crutzen, P.J., 1995. Toward a global estimate
22 of black carbon in residues of vegetation fires representing a
23 sink of atmospheric CO₂ and a source of O₂. *Global Biogeochemical*
24 *Cycles* 9(4), 491-501.
25
- 26 Lameira, O.A., Coimbra, J.M., 1988. Levantamento e distribuição
27 da precipitação em Roraima. Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa
28 Agropecuária-Unidade Experimental de Âmbito Territorial (EMBRAPA-
29 UEPAT)/Boa Vista-Roraima. Pesquisa em Andamento No. 12, Boa
30 Vista, Roraima, Brazil. 5 pp.
31
- 32 Schimel, D. and 75 others, 1996. Radiative Forcing of Climate
33 Change. In: Houghton, J.T., Meira Filho, L.G., Callander, B.A.,
34 Harris, N., Kattenberg, A., Maskell, K. (Eds.) *Climate Change*
35 *1995: The Science of Climate Change.* Cambridge University Press,
36 Cambridge, U.K., pp. 65-131.
37
- 38 Seiler, W., Crutzen, P.J., 1980. Estimates of gross and net
39 fluxes of carbon between the biosphere and the atmosphere from
40 biomass burning. *Climatic Change* 2, 207-247.
41
- 42 Van Wagner, C.E., 1968. The line intersect method for forest fuel
43 sampling. *Forest Science* 14(1), 20-26.
44
- 45 Ward, D.E., 1986. Field scale measurements of emission from open
46 fires. Technical paper presented at the Defense Nuclear Agency
47 Global Effects Review, Defense Nuclear Agency, Washington, DC.
48
- 49 Ward, D.E., Hardy, C.C., 1984. Advances in the characterization
50 and control of emissions from prescribed fires. Paper presented
51 at the 77th annual meeting of the Air Pollution Control
52 Association, San Francisco, California.

1
2 Warren, W.G., Olsen, P.F., 1964. A line intersect technique for
3 assessing logging waste. Forest Science 10, 267-276.
4

- 1 FIGURE LEGENDS
2
3
4 Figure 1. Location of study site.
5
6 Figure 2. Layout of sampling plots.
7
8 Figure 3. Distribution of carbon in the pre- and post-burn
9 phases.
10
11 Figure 4. Fate of pre-burn carbon for secondary forest
12 aboveground biomass, original forest remains, and all
13 biomass.

Table 1: Dry weight of above-ground material before and after burn

Secondary Forest

Fraction	Diameter class (cm)	Quality	Pre-burn			Post-burn			Dry weight loss (%)
			mean (Mg ha ⁻¹)	sd	cv	mean (Mg ha ⁻¹)	sd	cv	
Vines	< 5		1.28	0.97	0.76	0.08	0.16	2.10	94.1
Wood	< 5	Sound	17.85	8.38	0.47	3.52	2.95	0.84	80.3
		Rotten	0.26	0.54	2.07				100.0
	5-10	Sound	6.93	5.50	0.79	4.93	3.37	0.68	28.8
		Rotten	0.56	0.90	1.60				100.0
	> 10 ^a	Sound	5.47	8.80	1.61	2.58	3.21	1.24	52.7
		Rotten	0.05	0.14	3.00				100.0
Other			0.89	0.78	0.88	0.35	0.40	1.14	60.1
Litter ^b			9.76	4.14	0.42	0.84	1.02	1.20	91.3
Charcoal	Wood					0.35	0.14	0.39	--
	Other (palms+vines)					0.00	0.00	1.15	--
Ashes ^c						0.64	0.72	1.12	--
Sub-total Secondary forest			43.04	6.48	--	13.30	2.77	--	69.1

Original Forest Remains

Vines	< 5		0.03	0.08	3.00				100.0
	5-10		0.22	0.67	3.00				100.0
Wood	< 5	Sound	0.005	1.43	318.02				100.0
		Rotten	1.75	1.44	0.82	0.003	0.012	4.04	99.8
	5-10	Sound				0.52	1.11	2.14	--
		Rotten	4.44	0.08	0.02	0.66	1.13	1.73	85.2
	> 10 ^a	Sound	47.30	52.93	1.12	32.36	45.34	1.40	31.6
		Rotten	49.20	45.18	0.92	36.90	40.84	1.11	25.0
Palms	> 10 ^a		2.09	4.42	2.12	0.44	1.36	3.07	78.8
Charcoal									
Wood			0.21	0.21	1.00	0.95	0.89	0.93	-346.0
Other									
(palms+vines)			0.03	0.10	3.00	0.01	0.03	3.00	69.2
On ground ^d			0.55	0.35	0.64	0.37	0.26	0.71	32.5
Ashes ^c						1.13	1.27	1.12	--
Sub-total: Original forest remains			105.83	23.68		73.35	20.04		30.7
-----			-----			-----			-----
Total			148.86	18.71		86.65	17.39		41.8
-----			-----			-----			-----

(a) Values for dry weight of pieces >10 cm in diameter are the total of direct (destructive) and indirect (LIS) measurements.

(b) Litter assumed all to be from secondary forest.

(c) Ashes apportioned between secondary forest and original forest remains based on presumed carbon releases from these sources.

(d) Charcoal in soil assumed to be from burning of original forest biomass.

Table 2: Carbon stocks of above-ground material before and after burn

Secondary Forest

Fraction	Diameter class (cm)	Quality	Pre-burn		Post-burn		Carbon loss		Carbon partitioning (%) ^a	
			C content (% C)	sd %C	C stock (Mg ha ⁻¹)	C content (% C)	sd %C	C stock (Mg ha ⁻¹)		(%)
Vines	<5		42.31 ^g	4.20	0.54	41.87 ^g	4.70	0.03	94.2	0.2
Wood	<5	Sound	44.58 ^h	2.10	7.96	45.51 ^h	2.40	1.60	79.9	8.6
		Rotten	44.58 ^h	2.10	0.12					
	5-10	Sound	43.57 ^h	5.50	3.02	47.22 ^h	--	2.33	22.8	12.6
		Rotten	43.57 ^h	5.50	0.25					
>10	Sound	46.09 ^h	5.50	2.52	46.09 ^h	--	1.19	52.7	6.4	
	Rotten	43.78 ^h	5.60	0.02						
Other ^b			46.42 ^h	1.30	0.41	44.24 ^h	2.00	0.16	62.0	0.8
Litter ^c			38.13 ^h	4.80	3.72	41.53 ^h	7.10	0.35	90.6	1.9
Charcoal	Wood					58.00 ^h	5.00	0.21	--	1.1
	Other (palms+vines)					58.00 ^h	5.00	0.0004	--	0.002
Ashes ^d						16.13 ^h	7.80	0.11	--	0.2
Sub-total: secondary forest ^d					18.55			5.97	67.8	32.2
Presumed release								12.58		67.8

Original Forest Remains

Vines	<5		42.31 ^g	4.20	0.01				100.0	0.0
	5-10		42.31 ^g	4.20	0.09				100.0	0.0
Wood	<5	Sound	46.81 ^h	3.70	0.002					

		Rotten	46.81 ^h	3.70	0.82	47.20 ^h	3.60	0.000	100.0	0.0
	5-10	Sound				49.10 ^h	3.30	0.32	84.7	0.6
		Rotten	47.65 ^h	4.00	2.11					
	>10	Sound	48.38 ^h	2.10	22.88	47.40 ^h	1.70	15.34	33.0	30.2
		Rotten	47.93 ^h	3.00	23.58	47.93 ^h	1.70	17.69	25.0	34.8
Palms	>10		39.65 ^h	7.00	0.83	39.65 ^h	7.00	0.18	78.8	0.3
Charcoal										
Wood			63.70 ^h	6.40	0.14	63.90 ^h	6.90	0.61	--	1.2
Other										
(palms)			60.39 ^h	6.70	0.02	61.63 ^h	5.40	0.01	--	0.0
On ground ^e			63.36 ^h	6.10	0.35	66.89 ^h	7.10	0.25	--	0.5
Ashes ^d						16.13 ^h	7.80	0.18	--	0.4
Sub-total: Original forest remains ^e					50.84			34.57	32.0	68.0
Presumed release								16.27		32.0

Total					69.39			40.54	41.6	58.4
Presumed release								28.85		41.6

(a) Percentage of the total pre-burn stock in each category (secondary forest or original forest remains) left in each fraction.

(b) Palm fruits, pasture grass, bamboo and bromeliads.

(c) Litter assumed all to be from secondary forest.

(d) Ashes apportioned between secondary forest and original forest remains based on presumed carbon releases from these sources.

(e) Including charcoal and/or ashes.

(f) Charcoal in soil assumed to be from burning of original forest biomass.

(g) from Guimarães (1993).

(h) from Barbosa and Fearnside (1996).

Fig. 3







